



Information and Technology for Better Decision Making

2010 Post-Election Voting Survey of Local Election Officials

Tabulations of Responses



**2010 POST-ELECTION VOTING SURVEY OF
LOCAL ELECTION OFFICIALS:
TABULATIONS OF RESPONSES**

**Defense Manpower Data Center
Human Resources Strategic Assessment Program
1600 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 400, Arlington, VA 22209-2593**

Acknowledgments

Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) is indebted to numerous people for their assistance with the *2010 Post-Election Voting Survey of Local Election Officials* which was conducted on behalf of the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (OUSD[P&R]). The survey program is conducted under the leadership of Timothy Elig, Director, *Human Resources Strategic Assessment Program* (HRSAP).

Policy officials contributing to the development of this survey include Bob Carey, Paul Drugan, and Allan White (Federal Voting Assistance Program).

DMDC's Program Evaluation Branch, under the guidance of Kristin Williams, Branch Chief, is responsible for the development of questionnaires in the survey program. The lead survey design analysts were Ryan Tully and Elizabeth Davis, DMDC. In addition, Toby Moore, SRA International, Inc., and Elizabeth Davis designed the unique presentation of complex items used in this tabulation volume.

DMDC's Personnel Survey Branch, under the guidance of David McGrath, Branch Chief, is responsible for sampling and weighting methods used in the SOFS program. Laverne Wright, Chief of Survey Operations, is responsible for survey database construction and archiving. The lead operations analyst on this survey was Elizabeth Davis. Data Recognition Corporation performed data collection and editing. The lead statistician on this survey was Tim Markham, DMDC, with review from Eric Falk, DMDC.

DMDC's Survey Technology Branch, under the guidance of Frederick Licari, Branch Chief, is responsible for the distribution of datasets outside of DMDC and maintaining records on compliance with the Privacy Act and 32 CFR 219.

Jacqueline Malone, SRA International, Inc., formatted and assembled this tabulation volume using DMDC's Survey Reporting Tool. A team consisting of Elizabeth Davis, Lindsay Rock, and Kimberly Williams, DMDC, completed quality control for this tabulation volume.

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction to the Survey	1
Survey Methodology	4
Tabulation Procedures	6
References.....	7
Tabulations of Responses.....	9
Survey Instrument.....	97

List of Tabulations by Question Number

<u>Question</u>	<u>Page</u>
FEDERAL POST CARD APPLICATIONS (FPCAs)	
5. Did your jurisdiction receive any Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) during the time period from January 1, 2010 until the close of registration for the November 2010 general election?.....	10
6. Did your jurisdiction acknowledge ballot requests made by Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) that it received from UOCAVA voters?	11
6. What was the initial method that your jurisdiction used to acknowledge ballot requests made by Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) that it received from UOCAVA voters?	12
7. Do you know the exact date that your jurisdiction first received a Federal Post Card Application (FPCA) from a UOCAVA voter?	13
8. Do you recall the approximate date when your jurisdiction first received a Federal Post Card Application (FPCA) from a UOCAVA voter?	14
8. When did your jurisdiction first receive a Federal Post Card Application (FPCA) from a UOCAVA voter? Constructed from items Q7 and Q8.....	15
9. Did your jurisdiction track the number of Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) that it received?	16
10. Of the total number of Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) that your jurisdiction received, did your jurisdiction track how many were either unsuccessfully or successfully processed?	17
11. Did your jurisdiction track the reasons why Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) that your jurisdiction received were unsuccessfully processed?	18

**NON-FEDERAL POST CARD APPLICATION (NON-FPCA)
ABSENTEE BALLOT REQUESTS**

12.	Did your jurisdiction receive any non-FPCA absentee ballot requests from UOCAVA voters during the time period from January 1, 2010 until the close of registration for the November 2010 general election?.....	19
13.	Did your jurisdiction acknowledge non-FPCA absentee ballot requests that it received from UOCAVA voters?	20
13.	What was the initial method that your jurisdiction used to acknowledge non-FPCA absentee ballot requests that it received from UOCAVA voters?.....	21
14.	Do you know the exact date that your jurisdiction first received a non-FPCA absentee ballot request from a UOCAVA voter?.....	22
15.	Do you recall the approximate date when your jurisdiction first received a non-FPCA absentee ballot request from a UOCAVA voter?	23
15.	When did your jurisdiction first receive a non-FPCA absentee ballot request from a UOCAVA voter? Constructed from items Q14 and Q15	24
16.	Did your jurisdiction track the total number of non-FPCA absentee ballot requests that it received from UOCAVA voters?.....	25
17.	Of the total number of non-FPCA absentee ballot requests that were received from UOCAVA voters, did your jurisdiction track how many were unsuccessfully or successfully processed?	26
18.	Did your jurisdiction track the reasons why non-FPCA absentee ballot requests received from UOCAVA voters were unsuccessfully processed?	27

TRANSMISSION OF REGULAR UOCAVA ABSENTEE BALLOTS

19.	Did your jurisdiction transmit regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election?.....	28
20.	Do you know the exact date that your jurisdiction first began transmitting regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election?	29
21.	For the November 2010 general election, do you recall the approximate date when your jurisdiction first began transmitting regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters?	30
21.	For the November 2010 general election, when did your jurisdiction first begin transmitting regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters? Constructed from items Q20 and Q21	31
22.	Did your jurisdiction track the total number of regular absentee ballots it transmitted to UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election?	32

23. Of the total number of regular absentee ballots your jurisdiction transmitted to UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election, did your jurisdiction track how many were originally transmitted on or after your state's initial send out date? 33
24. Did your jurisdiction track the modes of transmission that it used to transmit regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters? 34
25. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of regular absentee ballots transmitted to UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election that were returned as undeliverable? 35

RECEIPT OF REGULAR UOCAVA ABSENTEE BALLOTS

26. Did your jurisdiction receive any regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election? 36
27. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of regular absentee ballots that were returned by UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election? 37
28. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of returned regular absentee ballots that were originally transmitted to UOCAVA voters on or after your state's initial send out date? 38
29. Did your jurisdiction track the modes of transmission used by UOCAVA voters to return regular absentee ballots? 39

REJECTION OF UOCAVA ABSENTEE BALLOTS

30. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of returned regular absentee ballots that were rejected in your jurisdiction for the November 2010 general election? 40
32. Did your jurisdiction track the date that rejected regular absentee ballots were originally transmitted to UOCAVA voters? 41
33. Did your jurisdiction track the modes of transmission used by UOCAVA voters to return regular absentee ballots that were rejected? 42
34. Did your jurisdiction track the reasons why mailed regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters were rejected? 43
35. Did your jurisdiction accept faxed regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election? 44
35. Did your jurisdiction track the reasons why faxed regular absentee ballots were rejected? 45
36. Did your jurisdiction accept e-mailed regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election? 46
36. Did your jurisdiction track reasons why e-mailed regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters were rejected? 47

REGULAR UOCAVA ABSENTEE BALLOTS SUBMITTED FOR COUNTING

- 37. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters that were submitted for counting? 48

COUNTED REGULAR UOCAVA ABSENTEE BALLOTS

- 38. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters that were counted? 49

FEDERAL WRITE-IN ABSENTEE BALLOTS (FWABs)

- 39. Did your jurisdiction receive any Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots from UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election? 50
- 40. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) returned by UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election? 51
- 41. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) that were rejected for the November 2010 general election? 52
- 42. Did your jurisdiction track the reasons why Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) returned by UOCAVA voters were rejected? 53
- 43. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) returned by UOCAVA voters that were submitted for counting? 54
- 44. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) that were counted? 55

ASSESSMENT OF ABSENTEE VOTING PROCESS

- 45. Taking all things into consideration, how satisfied were you with the overall absentee voting process in the November 2010 general election? 56
- 46. How satisfied were you with each aspect of the absentee voting process in the November 2010 general election? 57
 - a. Registering absentee voters and processing absentee ballot requests 57
 - b. Delivering requested absentee ballots to voters 58
 - c. Receiving completed absentee ballots from voters 59
 - d. Counting returned absentee ballots from voters 60
- 47. Which aspect of the absentee voting process needs the most improvement for future elections? 61

TOLL-FREE ELECTRONIC FAX AND E-MAIL CONVERSION SERVICE

48. The Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) provides a toll-free electronic fax and e-mail conversion service that allows you or your staff to fax and/or e-mail election materials to UOCAVA voters. Did you or anyone on your staff use the electronic fax and e-mail conversion service during the 2010 election year? 62
49. Overall, how useful was the voting information or assistance that you received from the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free electronic fax and e-mail conversion service during the 2010 election year? 63
50. Did you or anyone else on your staff use the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free electronic fax and e-mail conversion service during the 2010 election year for any of the following reasons? 64
51. What was the main reason why you or your staff did not use the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free electronic fax and e-mail conversion service in 2010? 65

TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE SERVICE

52. The Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) provides a toll-free telephone service that allows you or your staff to talk to FVAP staff for voting information or assistance. Did you or anyone on your staff use the toll-free telephone service to request voting information or assistance during the 2010 election year? 66
53. During 2010, how useful was the assistance you or your staff received from the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free telephone service in helping you perform your election official job duties? 67
54. During 2010, what was the main reason why you or anyone else on your staff used the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free telephone service? 68
55. What was the main reason why you or your staff did not use the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free telephone service in 2010? 69

FVAP WEB SITE

56. The Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) Web site, www.fvap.gov, provides voting-related information and resources. During the 2010 election year, did you or a member of your staff visit this Web site? 70
57. During 2010, how useful was the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) Web site in helping you or your staff perform your election official job duties? 71

58. How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) Web site?..... 72

 a. Search feature met my needs..... 72

 b. I was able to find what I needed quickly and easily 73

 c. Assisted me in performing my duties 74

59. What was the main reason why you or your staff did not visit the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) Web site in 2010?..... 75

"ADDRESS LOOK-UP" SERVICE

60. The Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) provides local election jurisdictions with an "address look-up" service for undeliverable absentee ballots sent to active duty members. Did you or anyone on your staff use the "address look-up" service during the November 2010 general election? 76

61. During 2010, how useful was the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) "address look-up" service in helping you or your staff perform your election official job duties?..... 77

62. How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) "address look-up" service? 78

 a. Submitting "address look-up" requests were quick and easy to do..... 78

 b. FVAP promptly provided me with the information I requested 79

 c. The requested information I received from FVAP was accurate 80

 d. The "address look-up" service assisted me in performing my duties 81

63. What was the main reason why you or your staff did not use the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) "address look-up" service in 2010? 82

COMMUNICATION WITH UOCAVA VOTERS

64. During the 2010 election year, what form of communication did you use most frequently to communicate with the following UOCAVA voter groups? 83

 a. Military in the U.S..... 83

 b. Military overseas 84

 c. Overseas civilians 85

ADDITIONAL TRAINING

65.	Do you feel that you need additional training on UOCAVA laws and procedures to adequately service UOCAVA voters in future elections?	86
66.	Would additional training on the following UOCAVA laws and procedures be helpful to you in servicing UOCAVA voters in future elections?	87
a.	UOCAVA voter eligibility	87
b.	General aspects of UOCAVA laws	88
c.	The Military and Overseas Voter Empowerment Act (MOVE Act)	89
d.	The Help America Vote Act (HAVA)	90
e.	The Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) assistance services	91
f.	FVAP's electronic transmission service	92
g.	Some other area of UOCAVA laws and procedures	93
67.	For future Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) election surveys, which one of the following survey methods would you most prefer to respond to?	94
68.	Did you respond to this survey via the Web or a paper survey questionnaire? Constructed from administrative data	95

2010 POST-ELECTION VOTING SURVEY OF LOCAL ELECTION OFFICIALS: TABULATIONS OF RESPONSES

Introduction to the Survey

The *Human Resources Strategic Assessment Program* (HRSAP), Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC), conducts surveys to support the personnel information needs of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD[P&R]). These surveys assess the attitudes and opinions of the entire Department of Defense (DoD) community on a wide range of personnel issues. While the primary sources of information for HRSAP are *Status of Forces Surveys* (SOFS), DMDC developed the *Post-Election Voting* surveys in 2008. *Post-Election Voting* surveys are conducted at the request of the Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) office as required by the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act of 1986 (UOCAVA), 42 USC 1973ff, as amended in November 2009 by the Military and Overseas Voter Empowerment Act (MOVE), for an evaluation of the effectiveness of assistance provided UOCAVA voters for the 2010 federal office elections.

This report contains tabulations of responses from the *2010 Post-Election Voting Survey of Local Election Officials (2010 PEV1)* conducted from November 30, 2010 to February 16, 2011. The UOCAVA covers members of the Uniformed Services and Merchant Marines, their family members, and citizens residing outside of the United States. The *2010 PEV1* targeted local election officials who support these UOCAVA covered members. This introduction (1) summarizes the survey content, (2) defines the total population surveyed and the subgroups used in tabulations of responses, (3) summarizes the survey methodology,¹ and (4) provides details on how to use the tabulations. The tabulations and a copy of the survey items follow this introduction.²

Survey Content

The topics covered in the *2010 PEV1* include information on voter registration and turnout; Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs); use and disposition of absentee ballots; assessment of absentee voting process; toll-free fax, e-mail, and telephone services; FVAP Web site; “address look-up” service; communication with UOCAVA voters; additional training; and future surveys. The survey was subdivided into the following 18 topic areas:

1. *Voter Registration*—Number of registered and eligible voters in jurisdiction.
2. *Voter Turnout*—Number of persons who participated in November 2010 general election.
3. *Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs)*—Number of applications received, initial method used to acknowledge ballot requests made by FPCAs, date

¹ Details on survey methodology are reported by DMDC (2011b).

² Refer to DMDC (2011a) to view a screen-shot version of the survey as it appeared on the Web.

first FPCA received, number of FPCAs received and processed from uniformed Service members and overseas civilians, and reasons FPCAs were processed unsuccessfully.

4. *Non-Federal Post Card Application (Non-FPCA) Absentee Ballot Requests*—Receipt of non-FPCA absentee ballot requests, initial method used to acknowledge non-FPCA absentee ballot requests, date first non-FPCA absentee ballot request received, numbers of non-FPCA absentee ballot requests received and processed, and reasons non-FPCA absentee ballot requests were processed unsuccessfully.
5. *Transmission of Regular UOCAVA Absentee Ballots*—Transmission of regular absentee ballots and date of first transmission, number of regular absentee ballots transmitted and number originally transmitted on or after state's initial send out date, number of regular absentee ballots transmitted using various modes of transmission, and number of regular absentee ballots transmitted but returned as undeliverable.
6. *Receipt of Regular UOCAVA Absentee Ballots*—Receipt and number of UOCAVA absentee ballots returned by voters, number of regular absentee ballots transmitted on or after state's initial send out date, and number of regular absentee ballots returned using various modes of transmission.
7. *Rejection of Regular UOCAVA Absentee Ballots*—Number of ballots returned by UOCAVA voters that were rejected, number of ballots rejected due to receipt after statutory deadline and number of these originally transmitted on or after state's initial send out date, number of rejected ballots returned using various modes of transmission, and reasons mailed, faxed, and e-mailed ballots were rejected.
8. *Regular UOCAVA Absentee Ballots Submitted for Counting*—Number of ballots submitted for counting.
9. *Counted Regular UOCAVA Absentee Ballots*—Number of ballots counted.
10. *Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs)*—Receipt of FWABs from UOCAVA voters, numbers of FWABs returned and rejected, reasons FWABs were rejected, number of FWABs submitted for counting, and number of FWABs counted.
11. *Assessment of Absentee Voting Process*—Satisfaction with absentee voting process and aspects needing the most improvement.
12. *Toll-Free Electronic Fax and E-Mail Conversion Service*—Use of, satisfaction with, and reasons for using or not using toll-free fax and e-mail conversion service.

13. *Toll-Free Telephone Service*—Use of, satisfaction with, and reasons for using or not using FVAP toll-free telephone service.
14. *FVAP Web Site*—Use of, satisfaction with, and reasons for not using FVAP Web site.
15. *“Address Look-Up” Service*—Use of, satisfaction with, and reasons for not using “address look-up” service.
16. *Communication with UOCAVA Voters*—Form of communication used most frequently to communicate with UOCAVA voters.
17. *Additional Training*—Training needed on UOCAVA laws and procedures.
18. *Future Surveys*—Preferred survey method for future FVAP election surveys.

Population and Reporting Categories

The target population for the 2010 PEV1 consisted of all local election officials who were in voting districts within the United States (including the District of Columbia, as well as the territories Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa).

Survey results are presented for the total population and for four reporting categories. To form the reporting categories for the tabulations, respondents were classified by survey self-report. To calculate estimated totals from the survey data, edit and imputation processes were developed for the items with missing data.³ Survey results are tabulated by size, type, region of jurisdiction, and by States.⁴ Definitions for reporting categories follow:

- *Size of Jurisdiction*—Categories include *Less Than 1,000*, *1,000 – 4,999*, *5,000 – 25,000*, and *More Than 25,000*.
- *Type of Jurisdiction*—Categories include *County* and *Sub-County*.
- *Region of Jurisdiction*—Geographic locations are collapsed into geographic regions as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau’s *Current Population Survey, 2008 Annual Social and Economic Supplement* (2008, March). Categories include *Northeast*, *Midwest*, *South*, and *West*.
- *States*—Categories include the following states: *Alaska, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, North Carolina, Ohio, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, and Wisconsin*. Other states are collapsed into *All Other States*.

³ Refer to DMDC (2011b) for details on the edit and imputation process.

⁴ These reporting categories are comparable to the reporting categories in the Election Assistance Commission and Overseas Vote Foundation surveys.

Survey Methodology

The process began on November 30, 2010, with both the mailout of notification letters and an e-mail announcement indicating the survey was available on the Web. The paper survey was mailed on December 20, 2010 to those who had not yet completed a Web survey. Throughout the administration period, additional e-mail and postal reminders were sent to encourage survey participation. Data were collected from November 30, 2010 to February 16, 2011.

The 2010 PEV1 used a census design of all voting jurisdictions from the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the four territories—Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa. All 7,296 jurisdictions were included with certainty and were compiled from three sources: 1) a file provided by FVAP, 2) state election Web site research, and 3) Web site research from the Overseas Vote Foundation (OVF). Local election officials in the sample became ineligible if they indicated in the survey or by other contact (e.g., telephone calls to the data collection contractor) that their jurisdiction did not collect voting data.

Completed surveys (defined as answering one or more of the survey questions asked of all participants) were received from 3,894 eligible jurisdictions. The overall weighted response rate for eligibles, corrected for nonlocation, was 53%.

Data were weighted to produce survey estimates of population totals, proportions, and means (as well as other statistics) that are representative of their respective populations. Unweighted survey data, in contrast, are likely to produce biased estimates of population statistics.

Because the survey was a census, weighting was only necessary to account for nonresponse in order for the data to be representative of the full population. For this process, the population was broken into nine subgroups based on the number of registered voters within each jurisdiction. To adjust for nonresponse, the final weight for each of these nine groups was set equal to the reciprocal of the rate of response within that subgroup. The sum of the final weights of respondents within each subgroup equals the population size within that subgroup.

Table 1 (page 5) shows the number of respondents and the portion of total respondents in each reporting group. Also shown are the estimated number of local election officials and the portion of total local election officials in each reporting group. Differences in the percentages of respondents and population for the reporting categories reflect differences in the number surveyed, as well as differences in response rates.

Table 1.
Number of Respondents (Total) and Estimated Population by Reporting Categories

	Respondents		Estimated Population				Max ME
	Count	Percent	Totals		Percent		
Total	3,894	100%	7,295	±0	100%		
SIZE OF JURISDICTION							
Less Than 1,000	1,021	26%	1,977	±63	27%		±1
1,000 – 4,999	1,016	26%	1,967	±63	27%		±1
5,000 – 25,000	1,028	26%	1,961	±39	27%		±1
More Than 25,000	716	18%	1,175	±27	16%		±1
TYPE OF JURISDICTION							
County	1,607	41%	2,892	±59	40%		±1
Sub-County	2,285	59%	4,400	±59	60%		±1
REGION OF JURISDICTION							
Northeast	481	12%	896	±52	12%		±1
Midwest	2,415	62%	4,612	±65	63%		±1
South	728	19%	1,307	±53	18%		±1
West	268	7%	477	±37	7%		±1
STATES							
Alaska	1	0%	2	± 2	0%		±1
Connecticut	94	2%	178	±25	2%		±1
District of Columbia	1	0%	2	± 2	0%		±1
Georgia	61	2%	111	±19	2%		±1
Illinois	62	2%	110	±19	2%		±1
Iowa	61	2%	113	±20	2%		±1
Kansas	70	2%	132	±22	2%		±1
Kentucky	53	1%	98	±18	1%		±1
Maine	1	0%	2	± 2	0%		±1
Massachusetts	171	4%	325	±33	4%		±1
Michigan	885	23%	1,704	±66	23%		±1
Minnesota	56	1%	102	±18	1%		±1
Missouri	54	1%	98	±18	1%		±1
Nebraska	67	2%	128	±21	2%		±1
New Hampshire	67	2%	129	±22	2%		±1
North Carolina	73	2%	127	±19	2%		±1
Ohio	61	2%	104	±17	1%		±1
Tennessee	59	2%	107	±19	1%		±1
Texas	141	4%	257	±29	4%		±1
Vermont	56	1%	108	±20	1%		±1
Virginia	70	2%	125	±20	2%		±1
Wisconsin	975	25%	1,888	±62	26%		±1
All Other States	755	19%	1,347	±55	18%		±1

Note: Because state affiliation was not taken into account during the weighting process, the sum of weights by state were not forced to equal the population size.

Tabulation Procedures

Tabulations⁵ for each question, including the text of the questions and response options, are shown. To compress the width of columns in the tables, the response options are shown with a number or letter; then that number or letter is used as the column heading for the responses. The central feature of the tabulations is the percentage of local election officials choosing the response options indicated by the column heading. Within a set of response options, percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding error.

Where an item lends itself to presentation as an average, that average is also shown as both a number estimate and in a bar chart. The averages lend themselves to a quick scan for reporting groups differing from other similarly defined groups. In some cases, the responses are averages of the numeric scales presented with the response options. Where there is a simple binomial response (e.g., yes/no), only one percentage is presented. In this case, the bar chart represents that percentage.

On each page of tabulations, the first column lists the reporting group shown in that row. The second column, *Percent Responding*, lists the portion of the reporting group represented in the estimates in that row. In most cases, if this percentage is not 100, it reflects item nonresponse, and the table note indicates that "Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question." Not all questions will apply to every respondent. Where possible, the survey is designed to skip respondents over questions that do not apply to them. For example, Q6 (What was the initial method that your jurisdiction used to acknowledge ballot requests made by Federal Post Card Applications [FPCAs] that it received from UOCAVA voters?) does not apply to those who marked in Q5 that their jurisdiction did not receive or if the respondent did not know if their jurisdiction received FPCAs during the time period from January 1, 2010 until the close of registration for the November 2010 general election. The table note for this question indicates, "Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who received Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) (Q5)."

Margins of Error

The presence of survey nonresponse required weighting to produce population estimates (e.g., percentage).⁶ Because of the weighting, conventional formulas for calculating the margin of error will overstate the reliability of the estimate. For this report, variance estimates were calculated using SUDAAN[®] PROC DESCRIPT (Research Triangle Institute, 2004).

⁵ Details of data editing and preparation are provided by DMDC (2011a).

⁶ As a result of differential weighting, only certain statistical software procedures, such as SUDAAN[®] PROC DESCRIPT, correctly calculate standard errors, variances, or tests of statistical significance for stratified samples.

[®] Copyright 2004 by Research Triangle Institute, P.O. Box 12194, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2194.

By definition, surveys are subject to error from nonresponse and noncompletion. Standard errors are estimates of the variance around population parameters, such as percentages or means, and are used to construct margins of error (i.e., confidence interval half-widths). Percentages and means in these tabulations are reported with margins of error based on 95% confidence intervals. In order to compress the data display, only the maximum margin of error (Max ME) for each reporting category is shown. That is, the tab volume shows only the largest margin of error for the percentages or means in each row. For each average shown in these tabulations, its margin of error is also printed.

The following reporting conventions are used:

- “0” indicates that no one in any reporting group selected the response option,
- NA indicates the question was *Not Applicable* because the question did not apply to respondents in the reporting category based on answers to previous questions.

References

Bureau of the Census. (2008, March). *Current Population Survey, 2008 Annual Social and Economic Supplement*. Retrieved January 30, 2009 from <http://www.census.gov/aprd/techdoc/cps/cpsmar08.pdf>.

DMDC. (2011a). *2010 Post-Election Voting Survey of Local Election Officials: Administration, datasets, and codebook* (Report No. 2011-017). Arlington, VA: Author.

DMDC. (2011b). *2010 Post-Election Voting Survey of Local Election Officials: Statistical methodology report* (Report No. 2011-016). Arlington, VA: Author.

Research Triangle Institute. (2004). *SUDAAN® Language Manual, Release 9.0*. Research Triangle Park, NC: Research Triangle Institute.

Tabulations of Responses

5. Did your jurisdiction receive any Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) during the time period from January 1, 2010 until the close of registration for the November 2010 general election?

1. Yes


2. No

3. Don't know

	Percent Responding		Percentages			Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes		
			1	2	3				
Total	97	±1	39	57	4	±1	41.0	±1.0	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION									
Less Than 1,000	99	±1	9	87	4	±2	9.0	±2.0	
1,000 – 4,999	99	±1	22	73	5	±2	23.0	±2.0	
5,000 – 25,000	97	±1	59	36	5	±3	62.0	±3.0	
More Than 25,000	95	±2	92	6	2	±2	94.0	±2.0	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION									
County	96	±1	65	30	5	±2	68.0	±2.0	
Sub-County	98	±1	22	74	4	±2	23.0	±2.0	
REGION OF JURISDICTION									
Northeast	97	±1	52	44	4	±4	54.0	±4.0	
Midwest	98	±1	26	70	4	±2	27.0	±2.0	
South	95	±2	68	28	4	±3	71.0	±3.0	
West	97	±2	61	31	8	±5	66.0	±5.0	
STATES									
Alaska	100	±0	100	0	0	±0	100.0	±0.0	
Connecticut	97	±3	63	33	3	±8	66.0	±8.0	
District of Columbia	100	±0	100	0	0	±0	100.0	±0.0	
Georgia	90	±6	52	44	4	±10	54.0	±10.0	
Illinois	95	±4	71	27	2	±9	73.0	±9.0	
Iowa	97	±4	82	18	0	±8	82.0	±8.0	
Kansas	98	±3	51	48	1	±9	51.0	±9.0	
Kentucky	96	±4	53	41	6	±10	57.0	±10.0	
Maine	100	±0	100	0	0	±0	100.0	±0.0	
Massachusetts	97	±2	44	53	4	±6	45.0	±6.0	
Michigan	99	±1	22	75	2	±2	23.0	±2.0	
Minnesota	100	±0	79	15	6	±9	84.0	±9.0	
Missouri	95	±5	59	37	4	±10	61.0	±10.0	
Nebraska	98	±3	37	63	0	±9	37.0	±9.0	
New Hampshire	98	±3	44	53	3	±9	45.0	±9.0	
North Carolina	95	±4	77	17	6	±8	82.0	±8.0	
Ohio	97	±2	83	9	7	±8	90.0	±8.0	
Tennessee	100	±0	93	5	2	±6	95.0	±6.0	
Texas	95	±3	72	28	1	±6	72.0	±6.0	
Vermont	98	±3	11	75	15	±9	13.0	±9.0	
Virginia	93	±4	74	26	0	±9	74.0	±9.0	
Wisconsin	98	±1	12	83	6	±2	12.0	±2.0	
All Other States	96	±1	63	30	7	±3	67.0	±3.0	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question. Respondents who indicated "Don't know" are set to missing in the bar chart.

6. Did your jurisdiction acknowledge ballot requests made by Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) that it received from UOCAVA voters?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	37	±1	93	±1	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	9	±2	93	±5	
1,000 – 4,999	21	±2	95	±3	
5,000 – 25,000	56	±3	93	±2	
More Than 25,000	84	±2	92	±2	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	61	±2	93	±2	
Sub-County	21	±2	93	±2	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	49	±4	88	±4	
Midwest	25	±2	93	±2	
South	63	±3	94	±2	
West	58	±4	96	±3	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	59	±7	88	±8	
District of Columbia	100	±0	100	±0	
Georgia	42	±9	100	±0	
Illinois	65	±9	90	±8	
Iowa	80	±8	90	±8	
Kansas	48	±9	88	±10	
Kentucky	49	±10	97	±7	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	42	±6	83	±7	
Michigan	21	±2	99	±2	
Minnesota	78	±8	98	±7	
Missouri	54	±10	93	±11	
Nebraska	37	±8	88	±13	
New Hampshire	43	±9	93	±10	
North Carolina	70	±8	97	±5	
Ohio	80	±7	80	±9	
Tennessee	91	±5	98	±6	
Texas	67	±6	87	±5	
Vermont	9	±6	100	±0	
Virginia	67	±8	98	±7	
Wisconsin	11	±2	92	±5	
All Other States	59	±3	94	±2	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who received Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) (Q5).

6. What was the initial method that your jurisdiction used to acknowledge ballot requests made by Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) that it received from UOCAVA voters?

1. State voter verification Web site
2. Acknowledgement card from the FVAP Web site
3. Telephone
4. Electronic transmission (e.g., fax or e-mail)
5. Notified relative of requestor
6. Ballot sent as acknowledgement
7. Some other method

	Percent Responding		Percentages							Max ME
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Total	34	±1	9	4	0	20	0	60	6	±2
SIZE OF JURISDICTION										
Less Than 1,000	8	±2	9	2	4	18	1	61	5	±8
1,000 – 4,999	20	±2	8	3	0	23	1	60	5	±5
5,000 – 25,000	52	±3	10	3	0	21	0	61	3	±3
More Than 25,000	78	±2	10	5	0	17	0	59	9	±3
TYPE OF JURISDICTION										
County	57	±2	12	5	0	17	0	59	7	±3
Sub-County	20	±2	5	2	1	25	0	64	3	±4
REGION OF JURISDICTION										
Northeast	43	±3	5	3	0	19	0	70	2	±5
Midwest	23	±2	9	2	1	20	0	63	4	±3
South	60	±3	12	6	0	21	0	53	7	±4
West	56	±4	9	5	0	13	1	58	14	±6
STATES										
Alaska	100	±0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	±0
Connecticut	52	±7	8	0	0	23	2	67	0	±10
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	±0
Georgia	42	±9	10	4	0	8	0	67	10	±13
Illinois	59	±9	6	3	0	17	0	72	3	±11
Iowa	71	±8	9	5	0	11	0	71	5	±10
Kansas	42	±8	3	7	0	26	0	63	0	±13
Kentucky	48	±10	0	0	0	16	0	76	8	±14
Maine	100	±0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	±0
Massachusetts	35	±5	2	3	0	39	0	56	0	±9
Michigan	21	±2	3	1	0	28	0	64	3	±5
Minnesota	76	±8	17	0	0	7	0	74	2	±11
Missouri	50	±10	0	0	0	15	0	85	0	±12
Nebraska	32	±8	4	9	0	36	0	36	14	±15
New Hampshire	40	±9	11	7	0	7	0	74	0	±13
North Carolina	68	±8	6	4	0	17	0	64	8	±9
Ohio	64	±9	38	5	2	5	0	41	9	±11
Tennessee	90	±6	13	3	0	14	0	67	4	±9
Texas	58	±6	32	7	0	11	0	39	11	±8
Vermont	9	±6	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	±0
Virginia	66	±8	2	14	2	50	0	26	6	±10
Wisconsin	10	±2	7	3	3	17	1	65	4	±7
All Other States	55	±3	10	4	0	17	1	59	9	±4

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) (Q5), and who acknowledged ballot requests made by FPCAs (Q6).

7. Do you know the exact date that your jurisdiction first received a Federal Post Card Application (FPCA) from a UOCAVA voter?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	38	±1	38	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	9	±2	35	±8	
1,000 – 4,999	22	±2	53	±5	
5,000 – 25,000	56	±3	41	±3	
More Than 25,000	86	±2	29	±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	62	±2	35	±2	
Sub-County	22	±2	44	±4	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	50	±4	38	±5	
Midwest	26	±2	42	±3	
South	65	±3	37	±3	
West	59	±4	29	±5	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	61	±7	62	±9	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	±0	
Georgia	47	±9	14	±11	
Illinois	68	±8	42	±10	
Iowa	80	±8	47	±10	
Kansas	48	±9	42	±12	
Kentucky	51	±10	18	±12	
Maine	100	±0	0	±0	
Massachusetts	42	±6	44	±8	
Michigan	22	±2	46	±5	
Minnesota	78	±8	37	±11	
Missouri	56	±10	26	±12	
Nebraska	37	±8	56	±14	
New Hampshire	43	±9	28	±13	
North Carolina	72	±8	40	±9	
Ohio	81	±7	45	±10	
Tennessee	93	±5	61	±9	
Texas	68	±6	37	±7	
Vermont	11	±6	34	±29	
Virginia	67	±8	32	±10	
Wisconsin	11	±2	41	±7	
All Other States	60	±3	29	±3	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who received Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) (Q5).

8. Do you recall the approximate date when your jurisdiction first received a Federal Post Card Application (FPCA) from a UOCAVA voter?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	23	±1	69	±3	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	6	±1	62	±9	
1,000 – 4,999	10	±2	71	±7	
5,000 – 25,000	33	±2	68	±4	
More Than 25,000	60	±3	71	±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	40	±2	71	±3	
Sub-County	12	±1	66	±4	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	30	±3	61	±6	
Midwest	15	±1	74	±4	
South	40	±3	68	±4	
West	41	±4	69	±6	
STATES					
Alaska	NA		NA	±0	
Connecticut	22	±6	67	±15	
District of Columbia	100	±0	100	±0	
Georgia	39	±9	59	±14	
Illinois	39	±9	80	±13	
Iowa	39	±9	87	±13	
Kansas	27	±8	68	±16	
Kentucky	42	±9	60	±14	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	24	±5	51	±11	
Michigan	12	±2	76	±7	
Minnesota	49	±9	77	±13	
Missouri	41	±9	67	±15	
Nebraska	16	±6	81	±22	
New Hampshire	31	±8	52	±15	
North Carolina	43	±8	65	±12	
Ohio	44	±9	89	±10	
Tennessee	37	±9	96	±11	
Texas	42	±6	68	±9	
Vermont	7	±5	49	±30	
Virginia	46	±8	81	±11	
Wisconsin	7	±2	64	±9	
All Other States	42	±3	67	±4	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) (Q5), and who did not know the exact date they first received a FPCA (Q7).

NA: Not applicable

8. When did your jurisdiction first receive a Federal Post Card Application (FPCA) from a UOCAVA voter? Constructed from items Q7 & Q8.

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1. On or before September 11 | 2. September 12 to September 18 | 3. September 19 to September 25 |
| 4. September 26 to October 2 | 5. October 3 to October 9 | 6. October 10 to October 16 |
| 7. October 17 to October 23 | 8. October 24 to October 30 | 9. October 31 or later |

	Percent Responding		Percentages									Max ME
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Total	30	±1	75	4	3	4	5	4	3	2	1	±2
SIZE OF JURISDICTION												
Less Than 1,000	6	±2	44	6	6	9	6	8	11	9	0	±9
1,000 – 4,999	18	±2	52	4	6	8	9	9	4	6	2	±6
5,000 – 25,000	45	±3	73	5	3	3	7	3	3	2	1	±3
More Than 25,000	67	±3	92	2	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	±2
TYPE OF JURISDICTION												
County	50	±2	84	3	3	2	3	2	2	1	0	±2
Sub-County	17	±2	58	6	5	8	8	6	5	4	2	±4
REGION OF JURISDICTION												
Northeast	37	±3	72	5	3	8	8	0	1	2	1	±5
Midwest	21	±2	67	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	1	±3
South	51	±3	84	3	2	1	3	2	2	1	1	±3
West	46	±4	82	3	2	1	6	4	1	0	1	±6
STATES												
Alaska	100	±0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	±0
Connecticut	50	±7	62	8	2	15	9	0	0	4	0	±10
District of Columbia	100	±0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	±0
Georgia	30	±8	82	6	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	±16
Illinois	59	±9	80	3	6	6	0	0	3	0	2	±11
Iowa	71	±8	75	5	2	6	5	2	5	0	0	±10
Kansas	38	±8	81	0	4	0	11	0	0	4	0	±13
Kentucky	35	±9	78	0	0	6	11	6	0	0	0	±16
Maine	100	±0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	±0
Massachusetts	30	±5	65	4	6	6	18	0	0	0	2	±10
Michigan	18	±2	57	7	4	6	5	9	6	4	2	±6
Minnesota	67	±9	92	3	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	±9
Missouri	42	±9	75	12	0	0	3	9	0	0	0	±13
Nebraska	34	±8	87	4	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	±13
New Hampshire	27	±8	66	0	6	17	0	0	6	6	0	±17
North Carolina	57	±8	81	0	5	5	0	2	0	3	5	±10
Ohio	75	±8	86	2	2	5	0	0	2	2	0	±9
Tennessee	90	±6	96	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	±6
Texas	52	±6	76	5	1	0	8	6	1	1	0	±8
Vermont	7	±5	51	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	±30
Virginia	59	±8	92	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	±9
Wisconsin	9	±2	44	2	8	7	9	9	11	8	1	±8
All Other States	45	±3	84	3	2	1	3	3	2	1	0	±3

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who received Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) (Q5). Respondents who indicated "I do not recall" are not included (Q8).

9. Did your jurisdiction track the number of Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) that it received?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	38	±1	69	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	9	±2	66	±7	
1,000 – 4,999	22	±2	84	±4	
5,000 – 25,000	56	±3	74	±3	
More Than 25,000	86	±2	59	±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	62	±2	66	±2	
Sub-County	22	±2	76	±3	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	50	±4	66	±5	
Midwest	26	±2	73	±3	
South	64	±3	70	±3	
West	59	±4	59	±5	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	60	±7	84	±8	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	±0	
Georgia	47	±9	28	±12	
Illinois	68	±8	67	±10	
Iowa	80	±8	72	±10	
Kansas	48	±9	84	±10	
Kentucky	51	±10	75	±13	
Maine	100	±0	0	±0	
Massachusetts	42	±6	74	±8	
Michigan	22	±2	84	±4	
Minnesota	78	±8	84	±10	
Missouri	56	±10	47	±12	
Nebraska	37	±8	100	±0	
New Hampshire	43	±9	56	±13	
North Carolina	73	±8	84	±8	
Ohio	81	±7	65	±9	
Tennessee	93	±5	85	±8	
Texas	67	±6	82	±6	
Vermont	11	±6	83	±31	
Virginia	67	±8	66	±10	
Wisconsin	11	±2	64	±7	
All Other States	60	±3	56	±3	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who received Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) (Q5).

10. Of the total number of Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) that your jurisdiction received, did your jurisdiction track how many were either unsuccessfully or successfully processed?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	26	±1	91	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	6	±2	90	±7	
1,000 – 4,999	18	±2	93	±3	
5,000 – 25,000	41	±3	94	±2	
More Than 25,000	50	±3	85	±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	41	±2	90	±2	
Sub-County	16	±2	93	±2	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	33	±3	95	±3	
Midwest	19	±2	91	±2	
South	44	±3	90	±3	
West	35	±4	86	±5	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	50	±7	98	±6	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	13	±6	87	±27	
Illinois	46	±9	84	±11	
Iowa	57	±9	88	±10	
Kansas	40	±8	93	±10	
Kentucky	39	±9	95	±13	
Maine	NA		NA	±0	
Massachusetts	31	±5	100	±0	
Michigan	18	±2	93	±4	
Minnesota	65	±9	100	±0	
Missouri	26	±8	85	±18	
Nebraska	37	±8	96	±11	
New Hampshire	24	±8	100	±0	
North Carolina	60	±8	85	±8	
Ohio	53	±9	88	±10	
Tennessee	80	±7	100	±0	
Texas	54	±6	93	±5	
Vermont	9	±6	80	±34	
Virginia	43	±8	92	±8	
Wisconsin	7	±2	87	±7	
All Other States	33	±3	85	±3	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) (Q5), and who tracked the number of FPCAs received (Q9).

NA: Not applicable

11. Did your jurisdiction track the reasons why Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) that your jurisdiction received were unsuccessfully processed?

	Percent Responding		Percentages		Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes			
Total	23	±1	52		±3	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION						
Less Than 1,000	5	±1	48		±10	
1,000 – 4,999	16	±2	53		±6	
5,000 – 25,000	37	±3	49		±4	
More Than 25,000	41	±3	56		±4	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION						
County	35	±2	53		±3	
Sub-County	15	±1	52		±4	
REGION OF JURISDICTION						
Northeast	30	±3	49		±6	
Midwest	16	±1	53		±4	
South	38	±3	54		±4	
West	30	±4	49		±8	
STATES						
Alaska	100	±0	100		±0	
Connecticut	47	±7	55		±11	
District of Columbia	NA		NA		±0	
Georgia	11	±6	71		±27	
Illinois	35	±8	59		±15	
Iowa	50	±9	48		±12	
Kansas	36	±8	44		±14	
Kentucky	37	±9	66		±15	
Maine	NA		NA		±0	
Massachusetts	31	±5	57		±10	
Michigan	17	±2	55		±6	
Minnesota	61	±9	44		±12	
Missouri	20	±7	76		±19	
Nebraska	35	±8	67		±14	
New Hampshire	18	±7	42		±20	
North Carolina	46	±8	53		±11	
Ohio	45	±9	66		±13	
Tennessee	74	±8	40		±11	
Texas	49	±6	65		±8	
Vermont	7	±5	23		±35	
Virginia	38	±8	31		±14	
Wisconsin	6	±2	44		±9	
All Other States	27	±3	49		±5	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) (Q5), who tracked the number of FPCAs received (Q9), and who tracked the number of FPCAs processed successfully or unsuccessfully (Q10).
 NA: Not applicable

12. Did your jurisdiction receive any non-FPCA absentee ballot requests from UOCAVA voters during the time period from January 1, 2010 until the close of registration for the November 2010 general election?

1. Yes

2. No

3. Don't know

	Percent Responding		Percentages			Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes		
			1	2	3				
Total	97	±1	27	64	9	±1	30.0	±1.0	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION									
Less Than 1,000	99	±1	10	87	3	±2	10.0	±2.0	
1,000 – 4,999	98	±1	20	73	7	±2	21.0	±2.0	
5,000 – 25,000	95	±1	37	50	13	±3	42.0	±3.0	
More Than 25,000	94	±2	55	30	15	±3	65.0	±3.0	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION									
County	95	±1	37	49	13	±2	43.0	±2.0	
Sub-County	97	±1	21	74	6	±2	22.0	±2.0	
REGION OF JURISDICTION									
Northeast	96	±2	39	52	10	±4	43.0	±4.0	
Midwest	98	±1	20	73	6	±2	22.0	±2.0	
South	94	±2	36	50	14	±3	42.0	±3.0	
West	96	±2	48	37	15	±5	57.0	±5.0	
STATES									
Alaska	100	±0	100	0	0	±0	100.0	±0.0	
Connecticut	97	±3	45	51	4	±8	47.0	±8.0	
District of Columbia	100	±0	100	0	0	±0	100.0	±0.0	
Georgia	90	±6	54	42	4	±10	56.0	±10.0	
Illinois	94	±5	31	55	14	±9	36.0	±10.0	
Iowa	97	±4	39	55	5	±9	42.0	±9.0	
Kansas	96	±3	10	82	7	±8	11.0	±7.0	
Kentucky	94	±5	18	68	14	±10	21.0	±10.0	
Maine	100	±0	100	0	0	±0	100.0	±0.0	
Massachusetts	95	±3	41	50	8	±6	45.0	±6.0	
Michigan	98	±1	20	74	5	±3	22.0	±2.0	
Minnesota	98	±3	26	56	18	±9	32.0	±10.0	
Missouri	95	±5	36	49	16	±10	42.0	±11.0	
Nebraska	98	±3	29	64	6	±9	31.0	±9.0	
New Hampshire	94	±4	21	68	11	±9	23.0	±9.0	
North Carolina	95	±4	31	54	15	±8	37.0	±9.0	
Ohio	97	±2	64	25	10	±9	72.0	±9.0	
Tennessee	98	±3	29	61	10	±9	32.0	±10.0	
Texas	93	±3	21	65	14	±6	24.0	±6.0	
Vermont	98	±3	31	58	11	±10	35.0	±10.0	
Virginia	90	±5	55	35	9	±9	61.0	±9.0	
Wisconsin	98	±1	14	82	4	±2	14.0	±2.0	
All Other States	95	±1	43	41	16	±3	52.0	±3.0	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question. Respondents who indicated "Don't know" are set to missing in the bar chart.

13. Did your jurisdiction acknowledge non-FPCA absentee ballot requests that it received from UOCAVA voters?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	26	±1	93	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	9	±2	95	±5	
1,000 – 4,999	19	±2	95	±3	
5,000 – 25,000	35	±2	91	±3	
More Than 25,000	51	±3	93	±2	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	35	±2	93	±2	
Sub-County	20	±2	92	±2	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	36	±3	91	±4	
Midwest	20	±2	93	±2	
South	33	±3	93	±3	
West	46	±4	93	±4	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	44	±7	90	±9	
District of Columbia	100	±0	100	±0	
Georgia	47	±9	97	±6	
Illinois	29	±8	89	±15	
Iowa	38	±9	100	±0	
Kansas	10	±5	85	±29	
Kentucky	17	±7	91	±18	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	38	±5	89	±7	
Michigan	20	±2	95	±3	
Minnesota	26	±8	100	±0	
Missouri	34	±9	100	±0	
Nebraska	29	±8	90	±14	
New Hampshire	18	±7	92	±19	
North Carolina	29	±7	92	±9	
Ohio	61	±9	86	±10	
Tennessee	28	±8	95	±9	
Texas	19	±5	93	±9	
Vermont	28	±9	100	±0	
Virginia	50	±8	85	±9	
Wisconsin	13	±2	91	±5	
All Other States	41	±3	93	±3	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who received non-FPCA absentee ballot requests from UOCAVA voters (Q12).

13. What was the initial method that your jurisdiction used to acknowledge non-FPCA absentee ballot requests that it received from UOCAVA voters?

1. State voter verification Web site
2. Acknowledgement card from the FVAP Web site
3. Telephone
4. Electronic transmission (e.g., fax or e-mail)
5. Notified relative of requestor
6. Ballot sent as acknowledgement
7. Some other method

	Percent Responding		Percentages							Max ME
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Total	24	±1	9	1	2	21	1	61	6	±3
SIZE OF JURISDICTION										
Less Than 1,000	9	±2	3	1	9	24	2	57	4	±8
1,000 – 4,999	18	±2	8	1	2	26	2	57	5	±6
5,000 – 25,000	32	±2	10	0	1	19	1	64	5	±4
More Than 25,000	47	±3	9	1	1	19	0	63	7	±4
TYPE OF JURISDICTION										
County	33	±2	11	1	1	20	1	59	7	±3
Sub-County	18	±2	6	0	3	22	1	64	3	±4
REGION OF JURISDICTION										
Northeast	33	±3	4	1	1	24	1	66	3	±6
Midwest	18	±2	10	1	3	19	1	62	3	±4
South	31	±3	10	1	0	25	1	56	7	±5
West	43	±4	7	0	0	18	0	59	17	±6
STATES										
Alaska	100	±0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	±0
Connecticut	39	±7	8	0	3	14	3	70	3	±12
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	±0
Georgia	46	±9	13	0	0	7	0	76	4	±12
Illinois	25	±8	7	0	7	27	7	52	0	±18
Iowa	38	±9	8	0	4	0	0	79	9	±13
Kansas	8	±5	0	0	0	52	0	31	17	±31
Kentucky	15	±7	0	0	0	39	0	13	48	±26
Maine	100	±0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	±0
Massachusetts	34	±5	0	0	0	33	0	65	2	±9
Michigan	19	±2	6	0	3	20	1	66	4	±6
Minnesota	26	±8	14	0	14	21	0	45	5	±18
Missouri	34	±9	0	0	0	10	0	90	0	±13
Nebraska	26	±8	0	0	6	16	0	61	17	±17
New Hampshire	16	±7	9	0	0	27	0	63	0	±22
North Carolina	27	±7	0	0	4	15	5	72	4	±14
Ohio	52	±9	38	0	0	7	0	55	0	±11
Tennessee	27	±8	19	0	0	11	0	58	12	±17
Texas	18	±5	16	3	0	22	0	47	11	±13
Vermont	28	±9	6	0	0	19	6	56	13	±17
Virginia	42	±8	7	0	0	33	0	50	10	±12
Wisconsin	12	±2	8	1	4	21	2	63	1	±7
All Other States	38	±3	10	2	0	23	1	57	7	±4

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received non-FPCA absentee ballot requests from UOCAVA voters (Q12), and who acknowledged non-FPCA absentee ballot requests (Q13).

14. Do you know the exact date that your jurisdiction first received a non-FPCA absentee ballot request from a UOCAVA voter?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	26	±1	33	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	10	±2	42	±7	
1,000 – 4,999	20	±2	46	±5	
5,000 – 25,000	35	±3	34	±4	
More Than 25,000	52	±3	22	±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	35	±2	27	±3	
Sub-County	20	±2	41	±4	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	37	±3	40	±5	
Midwest	20	±2	37	±3	
South	33	±3	29	±4	
West	46	±4	17	±5	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	44	±7	61	±11	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	±0	
Georgia	49	±9	10	±10	
Illinois	29	±8	28	±16	
Iowa	38	±9	51	±14	
Kansas	10	±5	15	±28	
Kentucky	17	±7	0	±0	
Maine	100	±0	0	±0	
Massachusetts	39	±6	42	±9	
Michigan	20	±2	33	±5	
Minnesota	26	±8	19	±17	
Missouri	34	±9	23	±16	
Nebraska	29	±8	55	±15	
New Hampshire	19	±7	39	±20	
North Carolina	29	±7	23	±14	
Ohio	63	±9	31	±11	
Tennessee	28	±8	37	±17	
Texas	18	±5	35	±13	
Vermont	30	±9	36	±17	
Virginia	48	±8	46	±12	
Wisconsin	14	±2	48	±6	
All Other States	41	±3	23	±4	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who received non-FPCA absentee ballot requests from UOCAVA voters (Q12).

15. Do you recall the approximate date when your jurisdiction first received a non-FPCA absentee ballot request from a UOCAVA voter?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	17	±1	68	±3	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	6	±1	71	±9	
1,000 – 4,999	10	±2	70	±7	
5,000 – 25,000	23	±2	69	±5	
More Than 25,000	40	±3	67	±4	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	26	±2	68	±3	
Sub-County	12	±1	69	±4	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	22	±3	58	±7	
Midwest	12	±1	73	±4	
South	24	±2	66	±5	
West	38	±4	68	±7	
STATES					
Alaska	NA		NA	±0	
Connecticut	17	±6	62	±18	
District of Columbia	100	±0	100	±0	
Georgia	44	±9	71	±13	
Illinois	21	±7	62	±19	
Iowa	19	±7	75	±20	
Kansas	9	±5	51	±26	
Kentucky	17	±7	89	±22	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	23	±5	51	±11	
Michigan	13	±2	79	±6	
Minnesota	21	±8	76	±19	
Missouri	26	±8	65	±18	
Nebraska	13	±6	55	±22	
New Hampshire	12	±6	63	±25	
North Carolina	22	±7	49	±16	
Ohio	43	±9	74	±12	
Tennessee	18	±7	92	±14	
Texas	13	±4	53	±15	
Vermont	20	±8	54	±21	
Virginia	28	±7	55	±14	
Wisconsin	7	±2	69	±9	
All Other States	32	±3	68	±4	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received non-FPCA absentee ballot requests from UOCAVA voters (Q12), and who did not know the exact date they first received a non-FPCA (Q14).

NA: Not applicable

15. When did your jurisdiction first receive a non-FPCA absentee ballot request from a UOCAVA voter? Constructed from items Q14 & Q15.

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1. On or before September 11 | 2. September 12 to September 18 | 3. September 19 to September 25 |
| 4. September 26 to October 2 | 5. October 3 to October 9 | 6. October 10 to October 16 |
| 7. October 17 to October 23 | 8. October 24 to October 30 | 9. October 31 or later |

	Percent Responding		Percentages									Max ME
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Total	20	±1	61	7	5	6	7	6	4	2	1	±3
SIZE OF JURISDICTION												
Less Than 1,000	8	±2	32	7	2	11	11	14	11	11	0	±8
1,000 – 4,999	16	±2	52	10	9	8	8	6	5	1	1	±6
5,000 – 25,000	27	±2	57	9	6	4	9	7	5	1	2	±5
More Than 25,000	38	±3	82	3	3	4	2	2	2	2	1	±4
TYPE OF JURISDICTION												
County	27	±2	71	5	4	4	5	5	4	1	1	±3
Sub-County	16	±2	49	10	7	7	9	8	5	4	2	±4
REGION OF JURISDICTION												
Northeast	27	±3	62	10	6	5	7	1	5	2	2	±6
Midwest	16	±1	53	7	6	7	9	8	5	3	1	±4
South	25	±3	70	7	3	3	4	7	4	1	1	±5
West	34	±4	74	4	6	7	0	5	3	1	1	±7
STATES												
Alaska	100	±0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	±0
Connecticut	36	±7	55	21	6	9	3	3	3	0	0	±12
District of Columbia	100	±0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	±0
Georgia	36	±9	81	5	0	5	5	5	0	0	0	±14
Illinois	21	±7	44	0	15	0	24	8	0	8	0	±20
Iowa	33	±8	66	0	5	0	9	5	10	5	0	±15
Kansas	6	±4	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	±37
Kentucky	15	±7	64	13	0	10	0	13	0	0	0	±27
Maine	100	±0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	±0
Massachusetts	27	±5	63	4	4	2	11	0	7	2	7	±11
Michigan	16	±2	54	12	8	8	5	6	3	2	1	±6
Minnesota	21	±8	74	0	0	8	9	0	9	0	0	±20
Missouri	25	±8	63	7	0	15	8	0	8	0	0	±18
Nebraska	23	±7	67	7	0	0	13	13	0	0	0	±18
New Hampshire	15	±6	40	20	0	0	20	0	10	10	0	±23
North Carolina	17	±6	44	7	0	17	0	9	8	7	7	±20
Ohio	52	±9	78	3	4	10	0	3	4	0	0	±11
Tennessee	27	±8	63	7	5	6	0	6	13	0	0	±17
Texas	13	±4	49	6	11	6	11	11	6	0	0	±15
Vermont	21	±8	50	8	17	8	8	0	8	0	0	±20
Virginia	36	±8	67	7	8	0	4	8	4	0	0	±14
Wisconsin	11	±2	33	5	7	8	16	16	7	7	1	±7
All Other States	31	±3	77	5	4	3	3	4	3	1	1	±4

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who received non-FPCA absentee ballot requests from UOCAVA voters (Q12). Respondents who indicated "I do not recall" are not included (Q15).

16. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of non-FPCA absentee ballot requests that it received from UOCAVA voters?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	26	±1	62	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	10	±2	73	±7	
1,000 – 4,999	19	±2	87	±4	
5,000 – 25,000	35	±3	65	±4	
More Than 25,000	51	±3	38	±4	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	35	±2	48	±3	
Sub-County	20	±2	78	±3	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	37	±3	72	±5	
Midwest	20	±2	68	±3	
South	33	±3	50	±5	
West	46	±4	45	±6	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	0	±0	
Connecticut	44	±7	83	±10	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	±0	
Georgia	49	±9	37	±13	
Illinois	29	±8	56	±16	
Iowa	38	±9	59	±14	
Kansas	10	±5	59	±25	
Kentucky	17	±7	43	±22	
Maine	100	±0	0	±0	
Massachusetts	39	±6	84	±7	
Michigan	20	±2	81	±5	
Minnesota	26	±8	34	±18	
Missouri	32	±9	47	±16	
Nebraska	29	±8	85	±15	
New Hampshire	19	±7	62	±20	
North Carolina	27	±7	41	±15	
Ohio	61	±9	60	±11	
Tennessee	28	±8	55	±16	
Texas	19	±5	51	±13	
Vermont	30	±9	94	±15	
Virginia	50	±8	69	±11	
Wisconsin	14	±2	69	±6	
All Other States	41	±3	44	±4	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who received non-FPCA absentee ballot requests from UOCAVA voters (Q12).

17. Of the total number of non-FPCA absentee ballot requests that were received from UOCAVA voters, did your jurisdiction track how many were unsuccessfully or successfully processed?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	16	±1	93	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	7	±2	92	±6	
1,000 – 4,999	17	±2	95	±3	
5,000 – 25,000	22	±2	97	±3	
More Than 25,000	20	±2	84	±4	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	17	±2	90	±3	
Sub-County	15	±2	95	±2	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	27	±3	91	±5	
Midwest	13	±1	96	±2	
South	17	±2	86	±5	
West	20	±4	88	±7	
STATES					
Alaska	NA		NA	±0	
Connecticut	36	±7	94	±9	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	18	±7	73	±21	
Illinois	16	±7	89	±23	
Iowa	22	±8	100	±0	
Kansas	6	±4	100	±0	
Kentucky	7	±5	100	±0	
Maine	NA		NA	±0	
Massachusetts	32	±5	96	±6	
Michigan	16	±2	97	±3	
Minnesota	9	±5	100	±0	
Missouri	15	±7	100	±0	
Nebraska	25	±7	100	±0	
New Hampshire	12	±6	87	±26	
North Carolina	11	±5	87	±26	
Ohio	37	±8	96	±8	
Tennessee	16	±7	100	±0	
Texas	10	±4	88	±14	
Vermont	28	±9	94	±16	
Virginia	34	±8	85	±11	
Wisconsin	9	±2	95	±5	
All Other States	18	±2	86	±5	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received non-FPCA absentee ballot requests from UOCAVA voters (Q12), and who tracked the number of non-FPCAs received (Q16).

NA: Not applicable

18. Did your jurisdiction track the reasons why non-FPCA absentee ballot requests received from UOCAVA voters were unsuccessfully processed?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	15	±1	50	±3	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	7	±2	53	±9	
1,000 – 4,999	16	±2	53	±6	
5,000 – 25,000	21	±2	43	±5	
More Than 25,000	16	±2	58	±7	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	15	±2	49	±5	
Sub-County	14	±1	52	±4	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	24	±3	44	±7	
Midwest	13	±1	55	±4	
South	14	±2	47	±7	
West	17	±4	45	±11	
STATES					
Alaska	NA		NA	±0	
Connecticut	33	±7	32	±13	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	13	±6	48	±23	
Illinois	14	±6	46	±22	
Iowa	22	±8	42	±18	
Kansas	6	±4	50	±30	
Kentucky	7	±5	25	±35	
Maine	NA		NA	±0	
Massachusetts	31	±5	43	±10	
Michigan	15	±2	62	±6	
Minnesota	9	±5	39	±29	
Missouri	15	±7	74	±26	
Nebraska	23	±7	69	±18	
New Hampshire	10	±6	57	±26	
North Carolina	8	±5	30	±26	
Ohio	32	±8	57	±15	
Tennessee	16	±7	44	±22	
Texas	8	±4	41	±20	
Vermont	25	±8	57	±19	
Virginia	29	±8	61	±15	
Wisconsin	9	±2	47	±8	
All Other States	15	±2	45	±7	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received non-FPCA absentee ballot requests from UOCAVA voters (Q12), who tracked the number of non-FPCAs received (Q16), and who tracked the number of non-FPCAs that were processed successfully or unsuccessfully (Q17).

NA: Not applicable

19. Did your jurisdiction transmit regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election?

1. Yes

2. No

3. Don't know

	Percent Responding		Percentages			Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes		
			1	2	3				
Total	96	±1	50	46	4	±1	52.0	±2.0	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION									
Less Than 1,000	98	±1	18	79	3	±2	19.0	±2.0	
1,000 – 4,999	97	±1	40	56	5	±3	42.0	±3.0	
5,000 – 25,000	95	±1	73	21	5	±2	77.0	±2.0	
More Than 25,000	94	±2	86	9	4	±2	90.0	±2.0	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION									
County	95	±1	74	21	5	±2	78.0	±2.0	
Sub-County	97	±1	34	62	4	±2	35.0	±2.0	
REGION OF JURISDICTION									
Northeast	95	±2	56	39	4	±4	59.0	±4.0	
Midwest	97	±1	39	57	4	±2	40.0	±2.0	
South	94	±2	73	22	5	±3	77.0	±3.0	
West	96	±2	83	13	4	±4	86.0	±4.0	
STATES									
Alaska	100	±0	100	0	0	±0	100.0	±0.0	
Connecticut	94	±4	52	44	5	±8	54.0	±8.0	
District of Columbia	100	±0	100	0	0	±0	100.0	±0.0	
Georgia	88	±6	83	13	4	±9	86.0	±8.0	
Illinois	94	±5	81	11	8	±8	88.0	±8.0	
Iowa	97	±4	79	14	7	±9	85.0	±8.0	
Kansas	95	±4	60	32	8	±9	65.0	±9.0	
Kentucky	92	±6	39	42	19	±10	48.0	±11.0	
Maine	100	±0	100	0	0	±0	100.0	±0.0	
Massachusetts	95	±3	60	35	5	±6	63.0	±6.0	
Michigan	98	±1	32	64	4	±3	34.0	±3.0	
Minnesota	98	±3	69	24	7	±9	74.0	±9.0	
Missouri	93	±5	85	15	0	±9	85.0	±9.0	
Nebraska	98	±3	55	42	3	±9	57.0	±9.0	
New Hampshire	96	±4	45	50	5	±9	47.0	±9.0	
North Carolina	96	±4	76	19	5	±8	80.0	±8.0	
Ohio	97	±2	87	9	3	±8	90.0	±8.0	
Tennessee	98	±3	93	7	0	±7	93.0	±7.0	
Texas	92	±4	56	39	5	±6	59.0	±7.0	
Vermont	96	±4	33	65	2	±10	34.0	±10.0	
Virginia	90	±5	90	8	1	±7	91.0	±7.0	
Wisconsin	98	±1	28	69	3	±3	29.0	±3.0	
All Other States	96	±1	77	18	5	±3	81.0	±3.0	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question. Respondents who indicated "Don't know" are set to missing in the bar chart.

20. Do you know the exact date that your jurisdiction first began transmitting regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	48	±1	79	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	18	±2	67	±5	
1,000 – 4,999	39	±3	77	±4	
5,000 – 25,000	69	±2	79	±3	
More Than 25,000	81	±2	85	±2	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	71	±2	84	±2	
Sub-County	33	±2	71	±3	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	54	±4	72	±4	
Midwest	38	±2	77	±2	
South	68	±3	82	±3	
West	79	±4	88	±4	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	49	±7	100	±0	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	±0	
Georgia	71	±8	86	±9	
Illinois	75	±8	76	±10	
Iowa	76	±8	88	±8	
Kansas	57	±8	92	±9	
Kentucky	36	±9	64	±15	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	57	±6	63	±7	
Michigan	31	±3	63	±4	
Minnesota	67	±9	83	±11	
Missouri	79	±8	100	±0	
Nebraska	55	±9	92	±8	
New Hampshire	43	±9	62	±13	
North Carolina	73	±7	90	±7	
Ohio	85	±7	94	±6	
Tennessee	91	±6	87	±8	
Texas	51	±6	86	±7	
Vermont	32	±9	55	±16	
Virginia	82	±7	98	±6	
Wisconsin	27	±2	78	±4	
All Other States	73	±3	79	±3	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who transmitted regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters (Q19).

21. For the November 2010 general election, do you recall the approximate date when your jurisdiction first began transmitting regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	10	±1	70	±4	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	6	±2	60	±9	
1,000 – 4,999	8	±2	65	±8	
5,000 – 25,000	15	±2	76	±5	
More Than 25,000	12	±2	69	±7	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	11	±2	74	±5	
Sub-County	9	±1	67	±5	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	15	±3	67	±9	
Midwest	9	±1	72	±5	
South	12	±2	65	±8	
West	9	±3	77	±13	
STATES					
Alaska	NA		NA	±0	
Connecticut	NA		NA	±0	
District of Columbia	100	±0	100	±0	
Georgia	10	±6	34	±29	
Illinois	15	±7	81	±21	
Iowa	9	±5	100	±0	
Kansas	4	±4	100	±0	
Kentucky	13	±7	74	±24	
Maine	NA		NA	±0	
Massachusetts	20	±5	66	±12	
Michigan	11	±2	75	±7	
Minnesota	11	±6	83	±31	
Missouri	NA		NA	±0	
Nebraska	4	±4	100	±0	
New Hampshire	16	±7	45	±21	
North Carolina	7	±4	100	±0	
Ohio	5	±4	66	±38	
Tennessee	12	±6	85	±29	
Texas	7	±3	62	±21	
Vermont	14	±7	63	±25	
Virginia	2	±3	100	±0	
Wisconsin	6	±2	56	±10	
All Other States	15	±2	72	±6	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who transmitted regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters (Q19), and who did not know the exact date they first received a regular absentee ballot (Q20).

NA: Not applicable


21. For the November 2010 general election, when did your jurisdiction first begin transmitting regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters? Constructed from items Q20 & Q21.

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. On or before September 18 | 2. September 19 to September 25 | 3. September 26 to October 2 |
| 4. October 3 to October 9 | 5. October 10 to October 16 | 6. October 17 to October 23 |
| 7. October 24 to October 30 | 8. October 31 or later | |

	Percent Responding		Percentages								Max ME
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Total	44	±1	56	12	16	8	4	2	1	0	±2
SIZE OF JURISDICTION											
Less Than 1,000	15	±2	17	14	36	14	9	6	3	1	±6
1,000 – 4,999	35	±3	39	14	24	13	6	3	1	0	±4
5,000 – 25,000	65	±2	60	13	13	8	4	1	1	0	±3
More Than 25,000	76	±3	78	10	6	3	2	1	0	0	±3
TYPE OF JURISDICTION											
County	67	±2	76	13	4	4	2	1	0	0	±2
Sub-County	29	±2	27	12	33	15	8	3	2	0	±3
REGION OF JURISDICTION											
Northeast	47	±4	31	10	20	24	9	4	1	0	±5
Midwest	34	±2	45	16	24	8	5	2	1	0	±3
South	63	±3	81	9	3	2	3	2	0	0	±3
West	76	±4	81	8	4	4	2	1	1	0	±4
STATES											
Alaska	100	±0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	±0
Connecticut	48	±7	39	25	27	4	0	5	0	0	±11
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	±0
Georgia	63	±9	79	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	±11
Illinois	69	±8	53	33	9	5	0	0	0	0	±11
Iowa	76	±8	80	13	2	0	2	2	0	0	±10
Kansas	56	±9	95	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	±8
Kentucky	33	±9	72	10	12	0	6	0	0	0	±16
Maine	100	±0	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	±0
Massachusetts	48	±6	4	0	20	51	18	5	2	0	±8
Michigan	28	±3	43	24	13	9	7	2	2	0	±5
Minnesota	66	±9	86	3	0	9	3	0	0	0	±10
Missouri	79	±8	78	18	0	0	2	2	0	0	±10
Nebraska	53	±9	92	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	±9
New Hampshire	34	±8	70	8	0	9	4	9	0	0	±15
North Carolina	72	±7	90	4	4	0	0	2	0	0	±8
Ohio	83	±7	61	30	4	0	0	4	0	0	±9
Tennessee	89	±6	94	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	±7
Texas	48	±6	77	11	2	5	2	3	2	0	±8
Vermont	27	±9	46	40	7	0	0	0	7	0	±18
Virginia	82	±7	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	±0
Wisconsin	24	±2	6	2	66	12	8	4	1	1	±5
All Other States	68	±3	69	13	7	6	4	1	0	0	±3

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who transmitted regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters (Q19). Respondents who indicated "I do not recall" are not included (Q21).

22. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of regular absentee ballots it transmitted to UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election?

	Percent Responding		Percentages		Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes			
Total	48	±1	88		±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION						
Less Than 1,000	18	±2	92		±4	
1,000 – 4,999	38	±3	92		±3	
5,000 – 25,000	69	±2	87		±2	
More Than 25,000	81	±2	84		±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION						
County	70	±2	87		±2	
Sub-County	33	±2	89		±2	
REGION OF JURISDICTION						
Northeast	53	±4	83		±4	
Midwest	37	±2	91		±2	
South	68	±3	83		±3	
West	78	±4	91		±3	
STATES						
Alaska	100	±0	100		±0	
Connecticut	49	±7	81		±9	
District of Columbia	100	±0	100		±0	
Georgia	71	±8	79		±10	
Illinois	72	±8	89		±8	
Iowa	76	±8	89		±8	
Kansas	57	±8	95		±8	
Kentucky	36	±9	85		±14	
Maine	100	±0	100		±0	
Massachusetts	57	±6	86		±6	
Michigan	31	±3	88		±3	
Minnesota	67	±9	94		±8	
Missouri	79	±8	98		±7	
Nebraska	55	±9	92		±9	
New Hampshire	40	±9	75		±13	
North Carolina	73	±7	89		±8	
Ohio	85	±7	95		±6	
Tennessee	89	±6	92		±7	
Texas	51	±6	76		±8	
Vermont	32	±9	100		±0	
Virginia	82	±7	76		±9	
Wisconsin	27	±2	95		±3	
All Other States	73	±3	85		±3	


Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who transmitted regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters (Q19).

23. Of the total number of regular absentee ballots your jurisdiction transmitted to UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election, did your jurisdiction track how many were originally transmitted on or after your state's initial send out date?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	41	±1	77	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	16	±2	84	±5	
1,000 – 4,999	35	±3	84	±3	
5,000 – 25,000	60	±3	77	±3	
More Than 25,000	67	±3	69	±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	60	±2	76	±2	
Sub-County	29	±2	79	±3	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	44	±4	72	±5	
Midwest	34	±2	80	±2	
South	56	±3	78	±3	
West	71	±4	71	±5	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	39	±7	89	±10	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	±0	
Georgia	55	±9	77	±11	
Illinois	64	±8	80	±10	
Iowa	68	±9	72	±11	
Kansas	54	±9	85	±10	
Kentucky	31	±9	73	±16	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	48	±6	65	±8	
Michigan	28	±3	76	±4	
Minnesota	64	±9	76	±11	
Missouri	77	±8	79	±10	
Nebraska	50	±9	94	±9	
New Hampshire	28	±8	95	±14	
North Carolina	63	±8	81	±9	
Ohio	80	±7	86	±8	
Tennessee	82	±7	77	±9	
Texas	39	±6	81	±8	
Vermont	32	±9	72	±17	
Virginia	62	±8	85	±8	
Wisconsin	25	±2	85	±4	
All Other States	61	±3	71	±3	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who transmitted regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters (Q19), and who tracked the number of regular absentee ballots transmitted (Q22).

24. Did your jurisdiction track the modes of transmission that it used to transmit regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters?

	Percent Responding		Percentages		Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes			
Total	41	±1	90		±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION						
Less Than 1,000	16	±2	90		±4	
1,000 – 4,999	35	±3	93		±3	
5,000 – 25,000	59	±3	91		±2	
More Than 25,000	67	±3	83		±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION						
County	60	±2	88		±2	
Sub-County	29	±2	92		±2	
REGION OF JURISDICTION						
Northeast	44	±4	84		±4	
Midwest	34	±2	91		±2	
South	55	±3	89		±3	
West	71	±4	90		±4	
STATES						
Alaska	100	±0	100		±0	
Connecticut	39	±7	95		±8	
District of Columbia	100	±0	100		±0	
Georgia	55	±9	92		±9	
Illinois	64	±8	84		±9	
Iowa	68	±9	93		±8	
Kansas	54	±9	88		±9	
Kentucky	31	±9	95		±9	
Maine	100	±0	100		±0	
Massachusetts	48	±6	88		±6	
Michigan	28	±3	94		±3	
Minnesota	64	±9	78		±11	
Missouri	77	±8	87		±9	
Nebraska	50	±9	94		±9	
New Hampshire	28	±8	84		±15	
North Carolina	63	±8	85		±9	
Ohio	78	±7	96		±6	
Tennessee	82	±7	86		±8	
Texas	38	±6	87		±8	
Vermont	32	±9	100		±0	
Virginia	62	±8	91		±8	
Wisconsin	25	±2	92		±3	
All Other States	61	±3	86		±3	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who transmitted regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters (Q19), and who tracked the number of regular absentee ballots transmitted (Q22).

25. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of regular absentee ballots transmitted to UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election that were returned as undeliverable?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	37	±1	89	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	15	±2	90	±4	
1,000 – 4,999	32	±2	93	±3	
5,000 – 25,000	54	±3	91	±2	
More Than 25,000	55	±3	79	±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	52	±2	87	±2	
Sub-County	27	±2	91	±2	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	37	±3	82	±4	
Midwest	31	±2	92	±2	
South	48	±3	88	±3	
West	64	±4	81	±5	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	36	±7	91	±10	
District of Columbia	100	±0	100	±0	
Georgia	51	±9	82	±11	
Illinois	53	±9	97	±6	
Iowa	63	±9	98	±4	
Kansas	48	±9	94	±9	
Kentucky	29	±9	94	±12	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	43	±6	86	±7	
Michigan	26	±2	92	±3	
Minnesota	50	±9	92	±11	
Missouri	67	±9	94	±9	
Nebraska	47	±9	98	±4	
New Hampshire	24	±8	87	±17	
North Carolina	51	±8	88	±9	
Ohio	74	±8	85	±8	
Tennessee	71	±8	93	±7	
Texas	33	±6	89	±8	
Vermont	32	±9	89	±14	
Virginia	55	±8	88	±8	
Wisconsin	23	±2	92	±3	
All Other States	52	±3	81	±3	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who transmitted regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters (Q19), who tracked the number of regular absentee ballots transmitted (Q22), and who tracked the modes of transmission used to transmit regular absentee ballots (Q24).

26. Did your jurisdiction receive any regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election?

1. Yes


2. No

3. Don't know

	Percent Responding		Percentages			Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes		
			1	2	3				
Total	95	±1	42	51	8	±2	45.0	±2.0	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION									
Less Than 1,000	98	±1	13	84	3	±2	14.0	±2.0	
1,000 – 4,999	96	±1	35	60	5	±3	37.0	±3.0	
5,000 – 25,000	93	±2	61	27	12	±3	70.0	±3.0	
More Than 25,000	91	±2	74	13	14	±3	85.0	±3.0	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION									
County	93	±1	62	26	12	±2	70.0	±2.0	
Sub-County	96	±1	29	66	5	±2	30.0	±2.0	
REGION OF JURISDICTION									
Northeast	93	±2	46	44	9	±4	51.0	±4.0	
Midwest	96	±1	33	61	6	±2	35.0	±2.0	
South	91	±2	59	28	12	±3	68.0	±3.0	
West	94	±2	70	18	12	±5	79.0	±4.0	
STATES									
Alaska	100	±0	100	0	0	±0	100.0	±0.0	
Connecticut	95	±3	46	45	9	±8	50.0	±8.0	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	0	100	±0	NA		
Georgia	85	±6	82	12	6	±9	87.0	±9.0	
Illinois	91	±5	66	22	12	±9	75.0	±10.0	
Iowa	95	±4	66	23	11	±9	74.0	±10.0	
Kansas	95	±4	44	44	12	±9	50.0	±9.0	
Kentucky	94	±5	32	43	24	±10	43.0	±11.0	
Maine	100	±0	100	0	0	±0	100.0	±0.0	
Massachusetts	93	±3	44	46	9	±6	49.0	±6.0	
Michigan	98	±1	31	64	5	±3	32.0	±3.0	
Minnesota	98	±3	47	31	21	±9	60.0	±11.0	
Missouri	86	±7	78	18	4	±10	81.0	±10.0	
Nebraska	97	±3	53	42	5	±9	56.0	±9.0	
New Hampshire	91	±5	34	59	7	±9	37.0	±10.0	
North Carolina	93	±4	62	22	16	±8	74.0	±9.0	
Ohio	94	±4	81	8	11	±8	91.0	±8.0	
Tennessee	100	±0	70	22	8	±9	76.0	±9.0	
Texas	92	±4	39	48	13	±6	45.0	±7.0	
Vermont	95	±5	38	59	4	±10	39.0	±10.0	
Virginia	85	±6	70	23	7	±9	75.0	±9.0	
Wisconsin	96	±1	22	75	3	±2	22.0	±2.0	
All Other States	93	±2	65	22	13	±3	74.0	±3.0	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question. Respondents who indicated "Don't know" are set to missing in the bar chart.
 NA: Not applicable

27. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of regular absentee ballots that were returned by UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	39	±1	88	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	13	±2	93	±4	
1,000 – 4,999	34	±3	93	±3	
5,000 – 25,000	57	±3	90	±2	
More Than 25,000	66	±3	81	±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	57	±2	87	±2	
Sub-County	28	±2	91	±2	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	43	±4	87	±4	
Midwest	32	±2	90	±2	
South	54	±3	85	±3	
West	64	±4	88	±4	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	44	±7	88	±9	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	70	±8	78	±10	
Illinois	60	±9	98	±5	
Iowa	62	±9	79	±10	
Kansas	41	±8	93	±10	
Kentucky	30	±9	87	±16	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	41	±6	92	±6	
Michigan	30	±3	89	±3	
Minnesota	47	±9	96	±11	
Missouri	67	±9	94	±8	
Nebraska	52	±9	94	±9	
New Hampshire	30	±8	80	±15	
North Carolina	58	±8	89	±8	
Ohio	76	±8	93	±6	
Tennessee	70	±8	93	±7	
Texas	35	±6	89	±8	
Vermont	36	±9	100	±0	
Virginia	59	±8	70	±10	
Wisconsin	21	±2	95	±3	
All Other States	59	±3	85	±3	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who received regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters (Q26).

NA: Not applicable

28. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of returned regular absentee ballots that were originally transmitted to UOCAVA voters on or after your state's initial send out date?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	34	±1	75	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	12	±2	87	±5	
1,000 – 4,999	31	±2	84	±4	
5,000 – 25,000	51	±3	78	±3	
More Than 25,000	53	±3	57	±4	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	48	±2	69	±3	
Sub-County	25	±2	82	±3	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	37	±3	76	±5	
Midwest	29	±2	79	±3	
South	45	±3	72	±4	
West	55	±5	60	±6	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	38	±7	97	±8	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	55	±9	75	±11	
Illinois	59	±9	56	±12	
Iowa	44	±9	66	±14	
Kansas	38	±8	83	±12	
Kentucky	27	±8	50	±17	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	37	±5	66	±9	
Michigan	27	±2	77	±4	
Minnesota	45	±9	65	±14	
Missouri	63	±9	74	±12	
Nebraska	49	±9	88	±11	
New Hampshire	24	±8	94	±16	
North Carolina	50	±8	73	±11	
Ohio	71	±8	78	±9	
Tennessee	65	±9	84	±10	
Texas	31	±6	73	±10	
Vermont	36	±9	85	±15	
Virginia	42	±8	74	±12	
Wisconsin	20	±2	91	±4	
All Other States	49	±3	65	±4	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters (Q26), and who tracked the number of regular absentee ballots returned (Q27).
 NA: Not applicable

29. Did your jurisdiction track the modes of transmission used by UOCAVA voters to return regular absentee ballots?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	34	±1	84	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	12	±2	89	±5	
1,000 – 4,999	31	±2	91	±3	
5,000 – 25,000	50	±3	86	±3	
More Than 25,000	53	±3	72	±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	48	±2	81	±2	
Sub-County	25	±2	89	±2	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	36	±3	81	±5	
Midwest	28	±2	87	±2	
South	45	±3	81	±3	
West	56	±5	80	±5	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	38	±7	89	±10	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	55	±9	82	±11	
Illinois	59	±9	78	±11	
Iowa	44	±9	85	±12	
Kansas	38	±8	76	±13	
Kentucky	25	±8	87	±14	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	37	±5	85	±7	
Michigan	27	±2	89	±4	
Minnesota	45	±9	80	±13	
Missouri	63	±9	82	±11	
Nebraska	49	±9	97	±9	
New Hampshire	24	±8	88	±17	
North Carolina	51	±8	79	±10	
Ohio	71	±8	87	±8	
Tennessee	65	±9	86	±10	
Texas	31	±6	78	±9	
Vermont	36	±9	95	±13	
Virginia	42	±8	88	±10	
Wisconsin	19	±2	90	±4	
All Other States	49	±3	77	±3	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters (Q26), and who tracked the number of regular absentee ballots returned (Q27).

NA: Not applicable

30. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of returned regular absentee ballots that were rejected in your jurisdiction for the November 2010 general election?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	39	±1	80	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	13	±2	81	±6	
1,000 – 4,999	33	±3	85	±3	
5,000 – 25,000	56	±3	82	±3	
More Than 25,000	66	±3	75	±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	56	±2	80	±2	
Sub-County	28	±2	82	±3	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	42	±3	74	±5	
Midwest	31	±2	84	±2	
South	53	±3	79	±3	
West	63	±4	78	±5	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	44	±7	71	±11	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	68	±8	69	±11	
Illinois	60	±9	92	±9	
Iowa	57	±9	85	±11	
Kansas	40	±8	89	±11	
Kentucky	29	±8	95	±10	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	40	±6	80	±8	
Michigan	30	±3	78	±4	
Minnesota	45	±9	84	±13	
Missouri	65	±9	94	±9	
Nebraska	50	±9	91	±10	
New Hampshire	31	±8	76	±15	
North Carolina	56	±8	93	±7	
Ohio	75	±8	90	±7	
Tennessee	70	±8	89	±8	
Texas	35	±6	86	±8	
Vermont	36	±9	90	±13	
Virginia	59	±8	68	±10	
Wisconsin	21	±2	88	±4	
All Other States	59	±3	73	±3	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who received regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters (Q26).
 NA: Not applicable



32. Did your jurisdiction track the date that rejected regular absentee ballots were originally transmitted to UOCAVA voters?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	31	±1	67	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	11	±2	69	±7	
1,000 – 4,999	27	±2	70	±4	
5,000 – 25,000	45	±3	71	±3	
More Than 25,000	48	±3	56	±4	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	44	±2	65	±3	
Sub-County	22	±2	70	±3	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	31	±3	64	±6	
Midwest	26	±2	69	±3	
South	41	±3	67	±4	
West	49	±5	61	±6	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	30	±7	71	±13	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	47	±9	78	±11	
Illinois	54	±9	46	±12	
Iowa	49	±9	66	±13	
Kansas	34	±8	66	±14	
Kentucky	27	±8	75	±17	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	32	±5	71	±9	
Michigan	23	±2	69	±5	
Minnesota	36	±9	76	±15	
Missouri	59	±9	57	±12	
Nebraska	46	±9	87	±11	
New Hampshire	22	±7	47	±18	
North Carolina	50	±8	60	±11	
Ohio	66	±8	77	±9	
Tennessee	62	±9	72	±11	
Texas	30	±6	56	±11	
Vermont	32	±9	72	±17	
Virginia	39	±8	67	±13	
Wisconsin	18	±2	72	±5	
All Other States	42	±3	63	±4	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters (Q26), and who tracked the number of regular absentee ballots rejected (Q30).

NA: Not applicable

33. Did your jurisdiction track the modes of transmission used by UOCAVA voters to return regular absentee ballots that were rejected?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	31	±1	67	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	11	±2	65	±7	
1,000 – 4,999	27	±2	69	±4	
5,000 – 25,000	45	±3	67	±4	
More Than 25,000	48	±3	63	±4	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	44	±2	66	±3	
Sub-County	22	±2	68	±3	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	31	±3	64	±6	
Midwest	26	±2	68	±3	
South	41	±3	66	±4	
West	49	±5	62	±6	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	31	±7	66	±13	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	47	±9	75	±12	
Illinois	55	±9	49	±12	
Iowa	49	±9	73	±12	
Kansas	34	±8	58	±14	
Kentucky	27	±8	75	±16	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	32	±5	73	±9	
Michigan	23	±2	71	±5	
Minnesota	36	±9	70	±16	
Missouri	61	±9	73	±12	
Nebraska	44	±9	83	±12	
New Hampshire	22	±7	40	±18	
North Carolina	48	±8	58	±11	
Ohio	66	±8	84	±9	
Tennessee	62	±9	70	±11	
Texas	30	±6	59	±11	
Vermont	32	±9	72	±17	
Virginia	39	±8	60	±13	
Wisconsin	18	±2	64	±6	
All Other States	42	±3	63	±4	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters (Q26), and who tracked the number of regular absentee ballots rejected (Q30).
 NA: Not applicable

34. Did your jurisdiction track the reasons why mailed regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters were rejected?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	20	±1	92	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	7	±2	90	±6	
1,000 – 4,999	19	±2	92	±4	
5,000 – 25,000	30	±2	90	±3	
More Than 25,000	30	±3	94	±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	28	±2	93	±2	
Sub-County	15	±2	90	±3	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	20	±3	84	±6	
Midwest	18	±2	93	±2	
South	27	±3	91	±4	
West	30	±4	96	±4	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	20	±6	89	±14	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	34	±9	100	±0	
Illinois	27	±8	100	±0	
Iowa	36	±9	82	±14	
Kansas	20	±7	85	±18	
Kentucky	20	±8	100	±0	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	23	±5	80	±11	
Michigan	16	±2	96	±3	
Minnesota	25	±8	100	±0	
Missouri	44	±9	96	±10	
Nebraska	37	±8	96	±11	
New Hampshire	9	±5	83	±31	
North Carolina	28	±7	95	±14	
Ohio	55	±9	97	±7	
Tennessee	43	±9	92	±11	
Texas	18	±5	88	±12	
Vermont	23	±8	77	±20	
Virginia	23	±7	94	±12	
Wisconsin	12	±2	88	±5	
All Other States	26	±3	92	±3	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters (Q26), who tracked the number of regular absentee ballots rejected (Q30), and who tracked the modes of transmission used to transmit rejected regular absentee ballots (Q33).
NA: Not applicable

35. Did your jurisdiction accept faxed regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	20	±1	51	±3	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	7	±2	48	±9	
1,000 – 4,999	19	±2	59	±5	
5,000 – 25,000	30	±2	47	±4	
More Than 25,000	30	±3	48	±5	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	28	±2	50	±4	
Sub-County	15	±2	52	±4	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	20	±3	54	±7	
Midwest	18	±2	49	±4	
South	27	±3	45	±5	
West	30	±4	73	±8	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	20	±6	26	±16	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	35	±9	38	±15	
Illinois	27	±8	36	±17	
Iowa	36	±9	50	±14	
Kansas	18	±7	76	±20	
Kentucky	20	±8	54	±20	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	23	±5	77	±11	
Michigan	16	±2	56	±6	
Minnesota	25	±8	30	±19	
Missouri	43	±9	68	±15	
Nebraska	37	±8	51	±14	
New Hampshire	9	±5	17	±31	
North Carolina	28	±7	77	±14	
Ohio	55	±9	28	±12	
Tennessee	43	±9	24	±14	
Texas	18	±5	20	±13	
Vermont	23	±8	62	±20	
Virginia	23	±7	39	±18	
Wisconsin	12	±2	46	±7	
All Other States	26	±3	57	±5	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters (Q26), who tracked the number of regular absentee ballots rejected (Q30), and who tracked the modes of transmission used to transmit rejected regular absentee ballots (Q33).
 NA: Not applicable

35. Did your jurisdiction track the reasons why faxed regular absentee ballots were rejected?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	10	±1	89	±3	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	3	±1	94	±9	
1,000 – 4,999	11	±2	91	±5	
5,000 – 25,000	14	±2	86	±5	
More Than 25,000	14	±2	86	±5	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	14	±2	84	±4	
Sub-County	8	±1	94	±3	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	11	±2	88	±8	
Midwest	9	±1	93	±3	
South	12	±2	81	±7	
West	22	±4	86	±7	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	5	±4	100	±0	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	14	±6	87	±26	
Illinois	10	±6	100	±0	
Iowa	18	±7	100	±0	
Kansas	14	±6	81	±21	
Kentucky	11	±6	84	±29	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	18	±4	87	±11	
Michigan	9	±2	96	±5	
Minnesota	7	±5	100	±0	
Missouri	29	±9	93	±16	
Nebraska	19	±7	76	±20	
New Hampshire	2	±3	100	±0	
North Carolina	21	±7	59	±17	
Ohio	16	±7	100	±0	
Tennessee	10	±6	100	±0	
Texas	4	±3	82	±29	
Vermont	14	±7	88	±26	
Virginia	9	±5	100	±0	
Wisconsin	5	±1	94	±7	
All Other States	15	±2	82	±6	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters (Q26), who tracked the number of regular absentee ballots rejected (Q30), who tracked the modes of transmission used to transmit rejected regular absentee ballots (Q33), and who accepted faxed regular absentee ballots (Q35).

NA: Not applicable

36. Did your jurisdiction accept e-mailed regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	20	±1	56	±3	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	7	±2	57	±9	
1,000 – 4,999	19	±2	63	±5	
5,000 – 25,000	30	±2	54	±4	
More Than 25,000	30	±3	47	±5	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	28	±2	54	±4	
Sub-County	15	±2	58	±4	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	20	±3	63	±7	
Midwest	18	±2	55	±4	
South	27	±3	49	±5	
West	30	±4	69	±7	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	0	±0	
Connecticut	20	±6	53	±16	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	35	±9	39	±15	
Illinois	27	±8	30	±17	
Iowa	36	±9	68	±15	
Kansas	18	±7	69	±20	
Kentucky	20	±8	54	±20	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	23	±5	85	±10	
Michigan	16	±2	63	±6	
Minnesota	25	±8	30	±19	
Missouri	44	±9	83	±13	
Nebraska	37	±8	44	±14	
New Hampshire	9	±5	33	±29	
North Carolina	28	±7	85	±14	
Ohio	55	±9	32	±12	
Tennessee	43	±9	40	±14	
Texas	18	±5	50	±13	
Vermont	23	±8	54	±19	
Virginia	23	±7	46	±17	
Wisconsin	11	±2	48	±7	
All Other States	26	±3	57	±5	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters (Q26), who tracked the number of regular absentee ballots rejected (Q30), and who tracked the modes of transmission used to transmit rejected regular absentee ballots (Q33).
 NA: Not applicable

36. Did your jurisdiction track reasons why e-mailed regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters were rejected?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	11	±1	86	±3	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	4	±1	93	±8	
1,000 – 4,999	12	±2	92	±5	
5,000 – 25,000	16	±2	85	±5	
More Than 25,000	14	±2	76	±6	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	15	±2	81	±4	
Sub-County	9	±1	92	±4	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	12	±3	85	±8	
Midwest	10	±1	89	±4	
South	13	±2	83	±6	
West	21	±4	82	±8	
STATES					
Alaska	NA		NA	±0	
Connecticut	11	±5	90	±22	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	14	±7	87	±26	
Illinois	8	±5	100	±0	
Iowa	24	±8	88	±15	
Kansas	13	±6	79	±22	
Kentucky	11	±6	84	±29	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	20	±5	82	±11	
Michigan	10	±2	93	±5	
Minnesota	7	±5	100	±0	
Missouri	37	±9	74	±16	
Nebraska	16	±7	91	±21	
New Hampshire	3	±3	100	±0	
North Carolina	24	±7	77	±16	
Ohio	18	±7	89	±23	
Tennessee	17	±7	91	±20	
Texas	9	±4	84	±18	
Vermont	13	±7	86	±28	
Virginia	11	±6	100	±0	
Wisconsin	6	±1	96	±6	
All Other States	15	±2	77	±6	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters (Q26), who tracked the number of regular absentee ballots rejected (Q30), who tracked the modes of transmission used to transmit rejected regular absentee ballots (Q33), and who accepted e-mailed regular absentee ballots (Q36).




NA: Not applicable

37. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters that were submitted for counting?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	38	±1	87	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	13	±2	88	±5	
1,000 – 4,999	33	±3	92	±3	
5,000 – 25,000	56	±3	88	±2	
More Than 25,000	65	±3	81	±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	55	±2	84	±2	
Sub-County	27	±2	91	±2	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	42	±3	87	±4	
Midwest	31	±2	89	±2	
South	53	±3	84	±3	
West	63	±4	84	±4	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	44	±7	88	±9	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	67	±9	73	±11	
Illinois	60	±9	92	±8	
Iowa	57	±9	86	±10	
Kansas	37	±8	81	±13	
Kentucky	29	±8	93	±16	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	40	±6	93	±6	
Michigan	30	±3	91	±3	
Minnesota	47	±9	85	±12	
Missouri	63	±9	97	±9	
Nebraska	52	±9	88	±10	
New Hampshire	31	±8	71	±15	
North Carolina	58	±8	86	±8	
Ohio	73	±8	90	±7	
Tennessee	70	±8	95	±7	
Texas	34	±6	93	±8	
Vermont	36	±9	100	±0	
Virginia	58	±8	68	±11	
Wisconsin	20	±2	93	±3	
All Other States	58	±3	81	±3	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who received regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters (Q26).
 NA: Not applicable

38. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters that were counted?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	38	±1	86	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	13	±2	88	±5	
1,000 – 4,999	33	±3	92	±3	
5,000 – 25,000	55	±3	87	±3	
More Than 25,000	65	±3	78	±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	55	±2	84	±2	
Sub-County	27	±2	88	±2	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	42	±3	83	±4	
Midwest	31	±2	88	±2	
South	52	±3	83	±3	
West	63	±4	86	±4	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	43	±7	65	±11	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	68	±8	72	±10	
Illinois	59	±9	92	±9	
Iowa	57	±9	80	±11	
Kansas	37	±8	85	±12	
Kentucky	27	±8	74	±17	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	39	±6	94	±6	
Michigan	30	±3	89	±3	
Minnesota	47	±9	89	±11	
Missouri	65	±9	97	±8	
Nebraska	50	±9	91	±10	
New Hampshire	31	±8	76	±15	
North Carolina	56	±8	88	±8	
Ohio	73	±8	92	±6	
Tennessee	70	±8	91	±7	
Texas	34	±6	90	±8	
Vermont	36	±9	95	±11	
Virginia	59	±8	63	±10	
Wisconsin	20	±2	92	±3	
All Other States	58	±3	82	±3	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who received regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters (Q26).
NA: Not applicable

39. Did your jurisdiction receive any Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots from UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election?

1. Yes

2. No

3. Don't know

	Percent Responding		Percentages			Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes		
			1	2	3				
Total	94	±1	16	81	3	±1	16.0	±1.0	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION									
Less Than 1,000	98	±1	2	96	1	±1	2.0	±1.0	
1,000 – 4,999	95	±1	6	92	3	±2	6.0	±2.0	
5,000 – 25,000	91	±2	17	78	5	±2	18.0	±2.0	
More Than 25,000	91	±2	56	39	5	±3	59.0	±3.0	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION									
County	92	±1	28	67	5	±2	29.0	±2.0	
Sub-County	96	±1	8	90	2	±1	8.0	±1.0	
REGION OF JURISDICTION									
Northeast	91	±2	24	71	5	±3	25.0	±3.0	
Midwest	96	±1	9	88	2	±1	10.0	±1.0	
South	89	±2	29	66	5	±3	31.0	±3.0	
West	93	±2	28	66	6	±4	30.0	±4.0	
STATES									
Alaska	100	±0	100	0	0	±0	100.0	±0.0	
Connecticut	94	±4	27	67	6	±8	29.0	±8.0	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	0	100	±0	NA		
Georgia	82	±7	30	68	2	±9	31.0	±9.0	
Illinois	89	±6	24	71	5	±9	25.0	±9.0	
Iowa	92	±5	29	68	3	±9	30.0	±9.0	
Kansas	92	±5	15	79	6	±8	16.0	±8.0	
Kentucky	92	±6	21	71	8	±9	23.0	±9.0	
Maine	100	±0	100	0	0	±0	100.0	±0.0	
Massachusetts	90	±4	20	76	4	±5	21.0	±5.0	
Michigan	97	±1	7	91	2	±2	7.0	±2.0	
Minnesota	98	±3	31	58	11	±9	35.0	±10.0	
Missouri	91	±6	26	74	0	±10	26.0	±10.0	
Nebraska	98	±3	5	90	5	±6	5.0	±5.0	
New Hampshire	91	±5	15	82	3	±8	15.0	±8.0	
North Carolina	92	±5	43	54	3	±8	44.0	±8.0	
Ohio	94	±4	38	57	5	±9	40.0	±9.0	
Tennessee	98	±3	15	85	0	±7	15.0	±7.0	
Texas	90	±4	20	77	3	±6	21.0	±5.0	
Vermont	95	±5	2	93	6	±7	2.0	±5.0	
Virginia	88	±6	52	46	1	±9	53.0	±9.0	
Wisconsin	96	±1	4	95	1	±2	4.0	±1.0	
All Other States	91	±2	31	62	6	±3	33.0	±3.0	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question. Respondents who indicated "Don't know" are set to missing in the bar chart.
 NA: Not applicable

40. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) returned by UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	15	±1	85	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	2	±1	86	±14	
1,000 – 4,999	5	±1	87	±8	
5,000 – 25,000	16	±2	90	±4	
More Than 25,000	51	±3	81	±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	25	±2	82	±3	
Sub-County	7	±1	91	±4	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	21	±3	82	±6	
Midwest	9	±1	90	±3	
South	26	±2	83	±4	
West	26	±4	75	±7	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	24	±6	96	±12	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	24	±7	69	±17	
Illinois	21	±7	72	±17	
Iowa	27	±8	100	±0	
Kansas	13	±6	89	±23	
Kentucky	17	±7	89	±23	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	18	±4	91	±10	
Michigan	7	±2	90	±7	
Minnesota	30	±8	94	±15	
Missouri	24	±8	92	±19	
Nebraska	5	±4	100	±0	
New Hampshire	13	±6	78	±24	
North Carolina	38	±8	90	±9	
Ohio	35	±8	92	±9	
Tennessee	15	±6	79	±22	
Texas	18	±5	93	±9	
Vermont	2	±3	0	±0	
Virginia	46	±8	85	±10	
Wisconsin	4	±1	95	±7	
All Other States	28	±2	76	±4	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who received Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) from UOCAVA voters (Q39).
NA: Not applicable

41. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) that were rejected for the November 2010 general election?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	12	±1	84	±3	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	2	±1	69	±16	
1,000 – 4,999	5	±1	85	±9	
5,000 – 25,000	14	±2	83	±5	
More Than 25,000	41	±3	85	±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	21	±2	85	±3	
Sub-County	7	±1	83	±5	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	17	±3	79	±7	
Midwest	8	±1	86	±4	
South	21	±2	85	±4	
West	19	±3	86	±7	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	23	±6	77	±15	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	17	±6	91	±17	
Illinois	15	±6	91	±17	
Iowa	27	±8	89	±13	
Kansas	12	±5	76	±25	
Kentucky	15	±7	79	±21	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	16	±4	85	±12	
Michigan	6	±2	85	±8	
Minnesota	29	±8	82	±16	
Missouri	22	±8	83	±19	
Nebraska	5	±4	100	±0	
New Hampshire	10	±6	57	±26	
North Carolina	35	±7	92	±10	
Ohio	32	±8	96	±6	
Tennessee	12	±5	78	±19	
Texas	17	±4	72	±13	
Vermont	NA		NA	±0	
Virginia	39	±8	84	±10	
Wisconsin	3	±1	88	±11	
All Other States	21	±2	84	±4	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) from UOCAVA voters (Q39), and who tracked the total number of FWABs returned (Q40).
 NA: Not applicable

42. Did your jurisdiction track the reasons why Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) returned by UOCAVA voters were rejected?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	10	±1	70	±3	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	1	±1	84	±19	
1,000 – 4,999	4	±1	64	±11	
5,000 – 25,000	11	±2	67	±7	
More Than 25,000	34	±3	72	±4	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	17	±2	71	±4	
Sub-County	5	±1	69	±6	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	13	±2	66	±8	
Midwest	7	±1	67	±5	
South	18	±2	75	±5	
West	17	±3	74	±9	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	17	±6	81	±17	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	15	±6	53	±20	
Illinois	14	±6	43	±21	
Iowa	24	±8	60	±18	
Kansas	9	±5	87	±24	
Kentucky	12	±6	61	±24	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	14	±4	66	±15	
Michigan	5	±1	66	±11	
Minnesota	23	±8	71	±18	
Missouri	18	±7	51	±20	
Nebraska	5	±4	71	±37	
New Hampshire	6	±4	75	±37	
North Carolina	31	±7	73	±14	
Ohio	31	±8	80	±14	
Tennessee	9	±5	100	±0	
Texas	12	±4	77	±16	
Vermont	NA		NA	±0	
Virginia	32	±8	63	±15	
Wisconsin	3	±1	68	±13	
All Other States	17	±2	74	±5	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) from UOCAVA voters (Q39), who tracked the number of FWABs returned (Q40), and who tracked the number of FWABs rejected (Q41).
NA: Not applicable

43. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) returned by UOCAVA voters that were submitted for counting?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	12	±1	93	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	2	±1	85	±15	
1,000 – 4,999	5	±1	94	±7	
5,000 – 25,000	14	±2	93	±4	
More Than 25,000	40	±3	94	±2	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	20	±2	93	±2	
Sub-County	7	±1	94	±4	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	17	±3	94	±5	
Midwest	8	±1	93	±3	
South	21	±2	94	±3	
West	20	±3	91	±6	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	23	±6	91	±13	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	17	±6	91	±17	
Illinois	15	±6	91	±17	
Iowa	27	±8	89	±14	
Kansas	12	±5	67	±23	
Kentucky	15	±7	90	±18	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	16	±4	96	±10	
Michigan	6	±2	91	±7	
Minnesota	29	±8	100	±0	
Missouri	22	±8	94	±9	
Nebraska	5	±4	100	±0	
New Hampshire	10	±6	100	±0	
North Carolina	33	±7	91	±13	
Ohio	32	±8	100	±0	
Tennessee	12	±5	89	±19	
Texas	16	±4	91	±13	
Vermont	NA		NA	±0	
Virginia	39	±8	100	±0	
Wisconsin	3	±1	94	±9	
All Other States	21	±2	94	±3	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) from UOCAVA voters (Q39), and who tracked the number of FWABs returned (Q40).
 NA: Not applicable

44. Did your jurisdiction track the total number of Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) that were counted?

	Percent Responding		Percentages	Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes
			Yes		
Total	12	±1	92	±2	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	2	±1	90	±13	
1,000 – 4,999	5	±1	91	±8	
5,000 – 25,000	14	±2	92	±4	
More Than 25,000	40	±3	92	±3	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	20	±2	93	±2	
Sub-County	7	±1	92	±4	
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	17	±3	91	±5	
Midwest	8	±1	93	±3	
South	21	±2	90	±4	
West	20	±3	96	±6	
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	100	±0	
Connecticut	23	±6	87	±13	
District of Columbia	NA		NA	±0	
Georgia	17	±6	91	±17	
Illinois	15	±6	91	±17	
Iowa	25	±8	88	±15	
Kansas	12	±5	76	±25	
Kentucky	15	±7	90	±18	
Maine	100	±0	100	±0	
Massachusetts	16	±4	96	±10	
Michigan	6	±2	92	±6	
Minnesota	29	±8	100	±0	
Missouri	22	±8	94	±9	
Nebraska	5	±4	100	±0	
New Hampshire	10	±6	100	±0	
North Carolina	32	±7	91	±13	
Ohio	32	±8	100	±0	
Tennessee	12	±5	89	±19	
Texas	16	±4	82	±13	
Vermont	NA		NA	±0	
Virginia	38	±8	84	±10	
Wisconsin	3	±1	91	±10	
All Other States	21	±2	95	±3	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question, who received Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) from UOCAVA voters (Q39), and who tracked the number of FWABs returned (Q40).

NA: Not applicable

46. How satisfied were you with each aspect of the absentee voting process in the November 2010 general election?

a. Registering absentee voters and processing absentee ballot requests

- 1. Very dissatisfied
- 2. Dissatisfied
- 3. Neither satisfied or dissatisfied
- 4. Satisfied
- 5. Very satisfied
- 6. Not applicable

	Percent Responding		Percentages						Max ME	Average Satisfaction		
			1	2	3	4	5	60				
Total	94	±1	1	3	15	51	29	2	±2	4.1	±0.1	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION												
Less Than 1,000	97	±1	1	2	21	49	24	4	±3	4.0	±0.1	
1,000 – 4,999	94	±1	2	3	14	51	28	2	±3	4.0	±0.1	
5,000 – 25,000	92	±2	1	2	13	52	32	0	±3	4.1	±0.1	
More Than 25,000	91	±2	1	3	11	53	32	1	±3	4.1	±0.1	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION												
County	91	±1	0	2	10	53	33	1	±2	4.2	±0.1	
Sub-County	95	±1	1	3	18	49	26	3	±2	4.0	±0.1	
REGION OF JURISDICTION												
Northeast	90	±2	1	3	18	54	23	2	±4	4.0	±0.1	
Midwest	95	±1	1	3	16	49	28	2	±2	4.0	±0.1	
South	90	±2	0	3	10	53	32	2	±3	4.2	±0.1	
West	94	±2	0	1	9	53	36	1	±5	4.2	±0.1	
STATES												
Alaska	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0	0	±0	4.0	±0.0	
Connecticut	92	±4	1	2	16	55	25	1	±8	4.0	±0.2	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0	0	±0	4.0	±0.0	
Georgia	82	±7	0	4	6	64	26	0	±10	4.1	±0.2	
Illinois	89	±6	1	5	19	57	18	0	±9	3.8	±0.2	
Iowa	90	±6	0	0	9	56	35	0	±10	4.3	±0.2	
Kansas	92	±5	0	2	22	58	18	0	±9	3.9	±0.2	
Kentucky	94	±5	2	0	4	50	42	2	±10	4.3	±0.2	
Maine	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0	0	±0	4.0	±0.0	
Massachusetts	89	±4	1	2	18	57	20	1	±6	3.9	±0.1	
Michigan	96	±1	2	2	11	49	35	1	±3	4.1	±0.1	
Minnesota	95	±4	0	0	6	53	41	0	±10	4.4	±0.2	
Missouri	91	±6	2	0	4	49	43	2	±10	4.3	±0.2	
Nebraska	97	±3	0	0	16	49	35	0	±9	4.2	±0.2	
New Hampshire	91	±5	0	7	16	52	23	2	±9	3.9	±0.2	
North Carolina	90	±5	0	2	16	43	37	2	±9	4.2	±0.2	
Ohio	92	±5	2	0	10	51	35	3	±9	4.2	±0.2	
Tennessee	100	±0	0	0	7	61	33	0	±9	4.3	±0.1	
Texas	89	±4	1	4	14	59	20	3	±6	4.0	±0.1	
Vermont	95	±5	0	2	26	42	23	8	±10	3.9	±0.2	
Virginia	86	±6	0	3	8	58	31	0	±9	4.2	±0.2	
Wisconsin	95	±1	1	4	24	48	19	4	±3	3.8	±0.1	
All Other States	92	±2	0	2	9	51	36	2	±3	4.2	±0.1	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question. Respondents who indicated "Not applicable" are not included in the bar chart.

46. How satisfied were you with each aspect of the absentee voting process in the November 2010 general election?

b. Delivering requested absentee ballots to voters

- 1. Very dissatisfied
- 2. Dissatisfied
- 3. Neither satisfied or dissatisfied
- 4. Satisfied
- 5. Very satisfied
- 6. Not applicable

	Percent Responding		Percentages						Max ME	Average Satisfaction		
			1	2	3	4	5	6				
Total	93	±1	1	3	14	50	29	2	±2	4.1	±0.1	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION												
Less Than 1,000	97	±1	0	2	20	49	24	5	±3	4.0	±0.1	
1,000 – 4,999	94	±1	1	3	14	50	30	2	±3	4.1	±0.1	
5,000 – 25,000	91	±2	1	3	12	50	33	1	±3	4.1	±0.1	
More Than 25,000	91	±2	1	4	11	51	32	2	±3	4.1	±0.1	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION												
County	91	±1	1	3	10	51	34	1	±2	4.2	±0.1	
Sub-County	95	±1	1	3	17	49	27	3	±2	4.0	±0.1	
REGION OF JURISDICTION												
Northeast	90	±2	1	4	16	52	23	3	±4	4.0	±0.1	
Midwest	95	±1	1	3	16	49	28	3	±2	4.0	±0.1	
South	90	±2	1	4	10	49	35	1	±3	4.2	±0.1	
West	93	±2	1	1	9	51	36	2	±5	4.2	±0.1	
STATES												
Alaska	100	±0	0	0	0	0	100	0	±0	5.0	±0.0	
Connecticut	92	±4	0	3	14	55	28	0	±8	4.1	±0.2	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0	0	±0	4.0	±0.0	
Georgia	82	±7	0	0	11	59	28	2	±10	4.2	±0.2	
Illinois	89	±6	0	4	20	57	17	1	±9	3.9	±0.2	
Iowa	90	±6	0	2	13	54	31	0	±10	4.1	±0.2	
Kansas	92	±5	0	3	17	61	18	0	±9	3.9	±0.2	
Kentucky	94	±5	2	0	4	55	37	2	±10	4.3	±0.2	
Maine	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0	0	±0	4.0	±0.0	
Massachusetts	89	±4	2	6	19	48	21	3	±6	3.8	±0.1	
Michigan	96	±1	1	1	11	49	36	1	±3	4.2	±0.1	
Minnesota	95	±4	0	2	6	48	44	0	±10	4.3	±0.2	
Missouri	91	±6	0	8	4	51	36	0	±10	4.2	±0.2	
Nebraska	97	±3	0	2	14	51	34	0	±9	4.2	±0.2	
New Hampshire	90	±6	0	5	15	51	25	3	±9	4.0	±0.2	
North Carolina	90	±5	0	3	12	44	40	2	±9	4.2	±0.2	
Ohio	92	±5	2	2	6	54	33	3	±9	4.2	±0.2	
Tennessee	100	±0	0	0	8	53	39	0	±9	4.3	±0.2	
Texas	90	±4	1	5	17	52	24	1	±6	3.9	±0.1	
Vermont	95	±5	2	2	19	51	19	8	±10	3.9	±0.2	
Virginia	86	±6	2	3	6	49	40	0	±9	4.2	±0.2	
Wisconsin	95	±1	1	4	23	48	19	5	±3	3.8	±0.1	
All Other States	92	±2	1	3	9	49	36	2	±3	4.2	±0.1	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question. Respondents who indicated "Not applicable" are not included in the bar chart.

46. How satisfied were you with each aspect of the absentee voting process in the November 2010 general election?

c. Receiving completed absentee ballots from voters

1. Very dissatisfied
4. Satisfied

2. Dissatisfied
5. Very satisfied

3. Neither satisfied or dissatisfied
6. Not applicable

	Percent Responding		Percentages						Max ME	Average Satisfaction		
			1	2	3	4	5	60				
Total	93	±1	1	4	16	51	24	2	±2	3.9	±0.1	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION												
Less Than 1,000	96	±1	1	4	20	48	24	3	±3	3.9	±0.1	
1,000 – 4,999	94	±2	1	3	16	53	25	2	±3	4.0	±0.1	
5,000 – 25,000	91	±2	2	6	15	52	25	1	±3	3.9	±0.1	
More Than 25,000	91	±2	2	6	14	53	23	2	±3	3.9	±0.1	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION												
County	91	±1	1	6	14	52	26	1	±2	4.0	±0.1	
Sub-County	95	±1	1	4	18	50	24	3	±2	3.9	±0.1	
REGION OF JURISDICTION												
Northeast	90	±2	0	4	20	54	19	4	±4	3.9	±0.1	
Midwest	95	±1	2	4	17	51	25	2	±2	4.0	±0.1	
South	90	±2	2	7	13	50	26	1	±3	3.9	±0.1	
West	93	±2	0	3	17	53	26	1	±5	4.0	±0.1	
STATES												
Alaska	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0	0	±0	4.0	±0.0	
Connecticut	92	±4	0	5	18	61	16	0	±8	3.9	±0.2	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0	0	±0	4.0	±0.0	
Georgia	82	±7	0	10	14	58	17	2	±10	3.8	±0.2	
Illinois	89	±6	0	4	20	56	20	0	±9	3.9	±0.2	
Iowa	90	±6	4	3	16	54	23	0	±10	3.9	±0.2	
Kansas	92	±5	2	8	17	58	15	0	±9	3.8	±0.2	
Kentucky	94	±5	2	0	6	56	34	2	±10	4.2	±0.2	
Maine	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0	0	±0	4.0	±0.0	
Massachusetts	89	±4	0	3	21	54	20	1	±6	3.9	±0.1	
Michigan	96	±1	2	3	12	52	30	1	±3	4.1	±0.1	
Minnesota	95	±4	0	4	7	60	28	0	±10	4.1	±0.2	
Missouri	91	±6	4	4	2	58	30	2	±10	4.1	±0.2	
Nebraska	97	±3	3	8	15	49	25	0	±9	3.9	±0.2	
New Hampshire	88	±6	0	5	19	59	17	0	±9	3.9	±0.2	
North Carolina	89	±5	2	12	22	35	26	2	±9	3.7	±0.2	
Ohio	92	±5	2	8	15	53	20	3	±9	3.8	±0.2	
Tennessee	100	±0	0	7	9	54	31	0	±9	4.1	±0.2	
Texas	90	±4	4	6	16	51	22	1	±6	3.8	±0.2	
Vermont	95	±5	0	2	26	43	21	8	±10	3.9	±0.2	
Virginia	86	±6	5	8	18	48	21	0	±9	3.7	±0.2	
Wisconsin	95	±1	2	5	23	47	20	4	±3	3.8	±0.1	
All Other States	92	±2	1	5	13	51	28	3	±3	4.0	±0.1	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question. Respondents who indicated "Not applicable" are not included in the bar chart.

46. How satisfied were you with each aspect of the absentee voting process in the November 2010 general election?

d. Counting returned absentee ballots from voters

- 1. Very dissatisfied
- 2. Dissatisfied
- 3. Neither satisfied or dissatisfied
- 4. Satisfied
- 5. Very satisfied
- 6. Not applicable

	Percent Responding		Percentages						Max ME	Average Satisfaction		
			1	2	3	4	5	6				
Total	94	±1	1	1	13	50	32	3	±2	4.1	±0.1	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION												
Less Than 1,000	97	±1	1	2	17	49	28	4	±3	4.1	±0.1	
1,000 – 4,999	94	±1	1	1	14	50	33	2	±3	4.1	±0.1	
5,000 – 25,000	92	±2	1	2	10	50	34	2	±3	4.2	±0.1	
More Than 25,000	91	±2	0	1	10	51	35	3	±3	4.2	±0.1	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION												
County	91	±1	1	1	9	51	36	2	±2	4.2	±0.1	
Sub-County	95	±1	1	2	16	49	29	4	±2	4.1	±0.1	
REGION OF JURISDICTION												
Northeast	91	±2	0	2	17	50	25	7	±4	4.0	±0.1	
Midwest	95	±1	1	1	14	49	31	3	±2	4.1	±0.1	
South	90	±2	1	1	9	49	36	3	±3	4.2	±0.1	
West	93	±2	0	1	9	51	38	1	±5	4.3	±0.1	
STATES												
Alaska	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0	0	±0	4.0	±0.0	
Connecticut	92	±4	0	4	15	45	27	8	±8	4.0	±0.2	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0	0	±0	4.0	±0.0	
Georgia	82	±7	0	4	6	57	31	2	±10	4.2	±0.2	
Illinois	89	±6	0	2	15	58	25	0	±9	4.1	±0.2	
Iowa	90	±6	0	2	11	56	32	0	±10	4.2	±0.2	
Kansas	92	±5	0	2	14	60	24	0	±9	4.1	±0.2	
Kentucky	94	±5	6	0	4	48	40	2	±10	4.2	±0.2	
Maine	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0	0	±0	4.0	±0.0	
Massachusetts	90	±4	1	1	18	53	25	3	±6	4.0	±0.1	
Michigan	96	±1	1	1	11	49	36	2	±3	4.2	±0.1	
Minnesota	95	±4	0	2	10	49	40	0	±10	4.3	±0.2	
Missouri	91	±6	2	2	2	57	35	2	±10	4.2	±0.2	
Nebraska	97	±3	0	0	13	45	42	0	±9	4.3	±0.2	
New Hampshire	91	±5	0	2	18	47	31	2	±9	4.1	±0.2	
North Carolina	90	±5	2	0	11	45	40	2	±9	4.2	±0.2	
Ohio	92	±5	2	0	6	55	35	3	±9	4.2	±0.2	
Tennessee	100	±0	0	2	5	47	44	2	±9	4.4	±0.2	
Texas	89	±4	2	1	14	56	27	2	±6	4.1	±0.1	
Vermont	96	±4	0	2	19	50	20	9	±10	4.0	±0.2	
Virginia	86	±6	0	4	11	45	37	3	±9	4.2	±0.2	
Wisconsin	96	±1	1	2	20	48	24	4	±3	3.9	±0.1	
All Other States	92	±2	0	1	8	48	39	4	±3	4.3	±0.1	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question. Respondents who indicated "Not applicable" are not included in the bar chart.

47. Which aspect of the absentee voting process needs the most improvement for future elections?

1. Registering absentee voters and processing absentee ballot requests
2. Delivering requested absentee ballots to voters
3. Receiving completed absentee ballots from voters
4. Counting returned absentee voters from voters
5. Some other aspect
6. Not applicable

	Percent Responding		Percentages						Max ME
			1	2	3	4	5	60	
Total	89	±1	15	9	19	4	11	42	±2
SIZE OF JURISDICTION									
Less Than 1,000	92	±2	16	6	14	2	8	54	±3
1,000 – 4,999	89	±2	16	7	18	4	11	44	±3
5,000 – 25,000	87	±2	14	10	23	5	13	35	±3
More Than 25,000	87	±2	13	19	23	4	15	26	±3
TYPE OF JURISDICTION									
County	87	±2	13	13	24	3	12	36	±2
Sub-County	90	±1	16	7	16	4	11	46	±2
REGION OF JURISDICTION									
Northeast	87	±3	11	15	19	3	11	42	±4
Midwest	90	±1	15	6	18	4	11	45	±2
South	85	±2	15	15	23	3	11	33	±3
West	89	±3	16	14	20	2	14	34	±5
STATES									
Alaska	100	±0	0	0	0	0	100	0	±0
Connecticut	88	±5	15	7	21	6	12	39	±8
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	100	0	0	0	0	±0
Georgia	78	±8	15	16	42	0	7	19	±10
Illinois	84	±7	23	4	21	2	13	37	±10
Iowa	90	±6	11	7	38	4	18	22	±9
Kansas	86	±6	13	16	20	2	13	36	±9
Kentucky	90	±6	11	4	21	4	8	52	±10
Maine	100	±0	0	0	100	0	0	0	±0
Massachusetts	87	±4	8	22	17	3	10	40	±6
Michigan	89	±2	12	5	18	5	11	49	±3
Minnesota	89	±6	6	3	33	21	5	33	±10
Missouri	84	±7	9	14	30	0	15	32	±10
Nebraska	88	±6	3	10	20	0	9	58	±9
New Hampshire	85	±6	18	5	21	2	7	47	±9
North Carolina	89	±5	16	26	21	1	12	24	±8
Ohio	90	±5	7	5	32	2	13	40	±9
Tennessee	95	±4	21	7	14	2	11	46	±9
Texas	83	±5	16	18	22	3	10	31	±6
Vermont	93	±5	6	4	19	0	10	62	±10
Virginia	84	±6	17	14	19	5	16	28	±9
Wisconsin	92	±2	21	6	14	3	10	45	±3
All Other States	88	±2	14	14	21	3	12	36	±3

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question.

50. Did you or anyone else on your staff use the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free electronic fax and e-mail conversion service during the 2010 election year for any of the following reasons?

- a. To receive registration and ballot requests from voters
- b. To transmit blank ballots to voters
- c. To receive completed ballots from voters
- d. To receive completed Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) from voters

	Percent Responding		Percentages				Max ME
			a	b	c	d	
Total	10	±1	52	66	34	14	±4
SIZE OF JURISDICTION							
Less Than 1,000	2	±1	31	44	6	0	±18
1,000 – 4,999	7	±2	40	60	23	11	±8
5,000 – 25,000	15	±2	54	69	37	13	±6
More Than 25,000	20	±2	59	70	39	20	±6
TYPE OF JURISDICTION							
County	15	±2	55	66	42	15	±5
Sub-County	7	±1	47	68	22	13	±6
REGION OF JURISDICTION							
Northeast	16	±3	56	66	34	22	±8
Midwest	7	±1	45	68	25	7	±6
South	15	±2	61	65	37	18	±7
West	13	±3	51	63	66	15	±12
STATES							
Alaska	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	±0
Connecticut	17	±6	37	50	6	12	±18
District of Columbia	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	±0
Georgia	23	±8	72	22	14	7	±19
Illinois	10	±5	57	83	47	11	±30
Iowa	15	±7	45	78	34	0	±24
Kansas	7	±4	19	79	39	0	±34
Kentucky	20	±8	54	92	0	27	±21
Maine	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	±0
Massachusetts	16	±4	78	85	78	44	±13
Michigan	9	±2	46	73	11	6	±8
Minnesota	9	±5	62	79	42	42	±34
Missouri	16	±7	54	88	64	11	±25
Nebraska	9	±5	66	68	17	17	±31
New Hampshire	10	±6	43	57	14	0	±28
North Carolina	23	±7	58	64	69	21	±17
Ohio	22	±7	56	70	25	15	±20
Tennessee	13	±6	72	61	0	0	±26
Texas	10	±4	45	61	26	6	±17
Vermont	7	±5	25	75	0	0	±37
Virginia	3	±3	100	50	50	50	±38
Wisconsin	1	±1	21	36	7	0	±19
All Other States	16	±2	53	64	51	16	±6

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who used the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) electronic fax and e-mail conversion service (Q48).
 NA: Not applicable

54. During 2010, what was the main reason why you or anyone else on your staff used the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free telephone service?

1. To obtain voter mailing addresses
2. To request Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) publications/forms
3. To resolve a voting problem for uniformed service members or overseas civilians
4. To request voting supplies (e.g., posters)
5. To make suggestions or changes to FVAP publications or programs
6. Some other reason

	Percent Responding		Percentages						Max ME
			1	2	3	4	5	6	
Total	3	±1	39	11	32	2	2	15	±6
SIZE OF JURISDICTION									
Less Than 1,000	0	±1	0	20	20	0	20	40	±34
1,000 – 4,999	2	±1	39	4	26	4	0	26	±15
5,000 – 25,000	3	±1	46	8	39	0	0	8	±14
More Than 25,000	9	±2	39	14	33	1	2	11	±8
TYPE OF JURISDICTION									
County	5	±1	43	11	34	1	1	9	±8
Sub-County	2	±1	31	10	29	2	2	25	±11
REGION OF JURISDICTION									
Northeast	3	±1	7	21	64	8	0	0	±19
Midwest	2	±1	38	6	32	0	2	23	±10
South	5	±1	43	16	22	2	3	14	±10
West	5	±2	63	6	31	0	0	0	±16
STATES									
Alaska	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	±0
Connecticut	1	±2	0	0	100	0	0	0	±0
District of Columbia	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	±0
Georgia	6	±4	77	0	0	23	0	0	±31
Illinois	3	±3	0	0	50	0	0	50	±35
Iowa	4	±4	0	71	29	0	0	0	±34
Kansas	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	±0
Kentucky	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	±0
Maine	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	±0
Massachusetts	2	±2	30	35	35	0	0	0	±39
Michigan	2	±1	32	0	24	0	6	38	±18
Minnesota	1	±2	100	0	0	0	0	0	±0
Missouri	9	±6	61	0	21	0	0	18	±34
Nebraska	1	±2	0	0	100	0	0	0	±0
New Hampshire	3	±3	0	50	50	0	0	0	±38
North Carolina	2	±2	0	0	0	0	0	100	±0
Ohio	5	±4	38	0	62	0	0	0	±38
Tennessee	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	±0
Texas	5	±3	38	23	15	0	0	23	±28
Vermont	2	±3	0	0	0	100	0	0	±0
Virginia	7	±4	23	59	18	0	0	0	±34
Wisconsin	2	±1	43	6	32	0	0	19	±18
All Other States	6	±2	46	7	39	0	2	6	±9

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who used the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free telephone service to request voting information or assistance (Q52).
 NA: Not applicable

55. What was the main reason why you or your staff did not use the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free telephone service in 2010?

- | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Did not know about it | 2. Knew about it, but did not know the telephone number | 3. Knew about it, but got desired information from other sources |
| 4. Did not need it | 5. It was a long-distance call | 6. Could not get through |
| 7. Some other reason | | |

	Percent Responding		Percentages							Max ME
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Total	86	±1	14	0	8	77	0 ^o	0	2	±1
SIZE OF JURISDICTION										
Less Than 1,000	93	±2	10	0	4	85	0 ^o	0	2	±2
1,000 – 4,999	89	±2	13	0	7	79	0 ^o	0	1	±2
5,000 – 25,000	84	±2	17	1	10	71	0 ^o	0	1	±3
More Than 25,000	73	±3	18	1	12	66	0 ^o	0	3	±3
TYPE OF JURISDICTION										
County	81	±2	14	1	11	72	0 ^o	0	2	±2
Sub-County	89	±1	13	0	6	79	0 ^o	0	2	±2
REGION OF JURISDICTION										
Northeast	83	±3	17	0	8	74	0 ^o	0	1	±4
Midwest	89	±1	13	0	6	79	0 ^o	0	2	±2
South	79	±2	13	1	12	71	0 ^o	0	3	±3
West	82	±4	19	1	9	70	0 ^o	0	1	±5
STATES										
Alaska	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0 ^o	0	0	±0
Connecticut	87	±5	22	0	4	75	0 ^o	0	0	±7
District of Columbia	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	±0
Georgia	73	±8	18	2	21	52	0 ^o	0	7	±10
Illinois	76	±8	16	0	23	60	0 ^o	0	0	±10
Iowa	88	±6	13	0	8	77	0 ^o	0	2	±9
Kansas	90	±5	18	2	6	75	0 ^o	0	0	±9
Kentucky	87	±7	2	2	9	84	0 ^o	0	2	±9
Maine	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0 ^o	0	0	±0
Massachusetts	86	±4	18	0	6	74	0 ^o	1	1	±6
Michigan	90	±2	12	0	6	80	0 ^o	0	2	±3
Minnesota	80	±8	7	0	5	88	0 ^o	0	0	±9
Missouri	79	±8	16	0	20	64	0 ^o	0	0	±10
Nebraska	91	±5	13	0	2	85	0 ^o	0	0	±8
New Hampshire	78	±7	17	0	10	71	0 ^o	0	2	±10
North Carolina	81	±6	11	0	17	69	0 ^o	0	3	±9
Ohio	77	±7	16	2	17	65	0 ^o	0	0	±10
Tennessee	100	±0	12	0	8	77	0 ^o	0	3	±8
Texas	79	±5	15	1	9	73	0 ^o	1	1	±6
Vermont	93	±5	6	0	6	89	0 ^o	0	0	±8
Virginia	75	±7	19	0	9	68	0 ^o	0	3	±10
Wisconsin	91	±2	13	0	5	81	0 ^o	0	2	±2
All Other States	78	±2	14	1	11	72	0 ^o	0	2	±3

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who did not use the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free telephone service to request voting information or assistance (Q52).

^o Response option never endorsed.

NA: Not applicable

56. The Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) Web site, www.fvap.gov, provides voting-related information and resources. During the 2010 election year, did you or a member of your staff visit this Web site?

1. Yes

2. No

3. Don't know

	Percent Responding		Percentages			Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes		
			1	2	3				
Total	95	±1	25	70	5	±1	26.0	±1.0	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION									
Less Than 1,000	98	±1	8	90	2	±2	8.0	±2.0	
1,000 – 4,999	95	±1	14	80	5	±2	15.0	±2.0	
5,000 – 25,000	93	±2	33	59	7	±3	36.0	±3.0	
More Than 25,000	92	±2	62	30	8	±3	68.0	±3.0	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION									
County	93	±1	43	50	8	±2	46.0	±2.0	
Sub-County	96	±1	13	82	4	±2	14.0	±2.0	
REGION OF JURISDICTION									
Northeast	92	±2	23	71	6	±3	24.0	±3.0	
Midwest	96	±1	18	78	4	±2	18.0	±2.0	
South	91	±2	44	47	9	±3	49.0	±3.0	
West	94	±2	46	46	8	±5	50.0	±5.0	
STATES									
Alaska	100	±0	100	0	0	±0	100.0	±0.0	
Connecticut	94	±4	19	76	5	±7	20.0	±7.0	
District of Columbia	100	±0	100	0	0	±0	100.0	±0.0	
Georgia	83	±7	50	41	9	±10	55.0	±10.0	
Illinois	90	±5	56	36	8	±9	61.0	±10.0	
Iowa	93	±5	47	46	7	±9	50.0	±10.0	
Kansas	93	±4	25	70	5	±9	27.0	±9.0	
Kentucky	94	±5	31	59	10	±10	34.0	±10.0	
Maine	100	±0	0	100	0	±0	0.0	±0.0	
Massachusetts	91	±3	22	72	5	±6	24.0	±5.0	
Michigan	97	±1	14	81	5	±2	15.0	±2.0	
Minnesota	97	±4	42	48	10	±10	46.0	±10.0	
Missouri	91	±6	46	52	2	±10	47.0	±10.0	
Nebraska	97	±3	27	69	5	±9	28.0	±9.0	
New Hampshire	91	±5	11	79	10	±8	13.0	±8.0	
North Carolina	90	±5	62	32	6	±9	66.0	±9.0	
Ohio	92	±5	59	30	11	±9	66.0	±10.0	
Tennessee	100	±0	51	43	5	±9	54.0	±9.0	
Texas	92	±4	38	53	9	±6	42.0	±7.0	
Vermont	96	±4	2	93	6	±7	2.0	±6.0	
Virginia	89	±5	61	32	7	±9	66.0	±9.0	
Wisconsin	96	±1	10	87	3	±2	11.0	±2.0	
All Other States	93	±2	41	51	8	±3	44.0	±3.0	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question. Respondents who indicated "Don't know" are set to missing in the bar chart.

57. During 2010, how useful was the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) Web site in helping you or your staff perform your election official job duties?

1. Not at all useful
 2. Somewhat useful
 3. Moderately useful
 4. Largely useful
 5. Very useful

	Percent Responding		Percentages					Max ME	Average Usefulness		
			1	2	3	4	5				
Total	23	±1	4	18	30	25	23	±2	3.5	±0.1	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION											
Less Than 1,000	7	±2	5	27	33	20	15	±8	3.1	±0.2	
1,000 – 4,999	13	±2	7	19	30	23	20	±6	3.3	±0.2	
5,000 – 25,000	31	±2	5	16	29	26	24	±4	3.5	±0.1	
More Than 25,000	56	±3	2	16	30	28	25	±3	3.6	±0.1	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION											
County	39	±2	4	16	29	26	25	±3	3.5	±0.1	
Sub-County	13	±1	6	22	30	24	19	±4	3.3	±0.1	
REGION OF JURISDICTION											
Northeast	21	±3	3	24	27	25	21	±6	3.4	±0.2	
Midwest	17	±1	5	19	31	25	19	±4	3.3	±0.1	
South	40	±3	3	14	30	26	27	±4	3.6	±0.1	
West	43	±4	4	16	26	25	29	±6	3.6	±0.2	
STATES											
Alaska	100	±0	0	0	100	0	0	±0	3.0	±0.0	
Connecticut	18	±6	0	35	29	18	18	±17	3.2	±0.4	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	100	0	0	0	±0	2.0	±0.0	
Georgia	42	±9	8	8	31	22	30	±14	3.6	±0.4	
Illinois	49	±9	3	24	31	29	12	±12	3.2	±0.3	
Iowa	42	±9	8	12	27	34	19	±14	3.4	±0.4	
Kansas	24	±7	0	6	40	24	30	±17	3.8	±0.4	
Kentucky	29	±9	0	19	24	32	24	±17	3.6	±0.4	
Maine	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	±0	NA		
Massachusetts	20	±5	3	19	28	30	19	±12	3.4	±0.3	
Michigan	13	±2	9	17	22	27	25	±6	3.4	±0.2	
Minnesota	37	±9	0	5	53	23	19	±15	3.6	±0.3	
Missouri	41	±9	9	24	34	5	27	±15	3.2	±0.4	
Nebraska	26	±8	6	35	16	38	6	±17	3.0	±0.4	
New Hampshire	10	±6	14	28	43	14	0	±28	2.6	±0.5	
North Carolina	55	±8	2	10	35	27	26	±11	3.6	±0.2	
Ohio	54	±9	10	23	30	26	11	±11	3.1	±0.3	
Tennessee	51	±9	3	9	32	26	30	±12	3.7	±0.3	
Texas	35	±6	2	15	24	30	30	±10	3.7	±0.2	
Vermont	2	±3	0	100	0	0	0	±0	2.0	±0.0	
Virginia	53	±8	9	13	36	23	20	±11	3.3	±0.3	
Wisconsin	10	±2	2	25	39	21	13	±7	3.2	±0.2	
All Other States	37	±3	3	16	27	26	28	±4	3.6	±0.1	

Note: Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who visited the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) Web site (Q56).
 NA: Not applicable

59. What was the main reason why you or your staff did not visit the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) Web site in 2010?

1. Did not know about it
2. Did not have Internet access
3. Knew about it, but did not know the Web site address
4. Knew about it, but got desired information from other sources
5. Did not think it would be useful
6. Some other reason

	Percent Responding		Percentages						Max ME
			1	2	3	4	5	6	
Total	64	±1	22	3	2	42	7	25	±2
SIZE OF JURISDICTION									
Less Than 1,000	86	±2	25	5	1	36	7	25	±3
1,000 – 4,999	74	±2	22	2	2	38	9	28	±3
5,000 – 25,000	54	±3	18	0	2	51	6	22	±3
More Than 25,000	26	±3	19	0	2	56	3	19	±5
TYPE OF JURISDICTION									
County	45	±2	15	0	2	51	7	25	±3
Sub-County	77	±2	25	4	1	38	8	24	±2
REGION OF JURISDICTION									
Northeast	63	±3	22	1	1	42	9	25	±4
Midwest	73	±2	23	4	1	40	8	24	±2
South	42	±3	14	0	2	48	6	28	±4
West	43	±5	17	0	5	50	6	22	±7
STATES									
Alaska	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	±0
Connecticut	68	±7	22	2	2	45	6	24	±9
District of Columbia	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	±0
Georgia	30	±8	34	0	5	33	6	23	±17
Illinois	33	±8	5	0	0	68	5	21	±16
Iowa	43	±9	15	0	0	58	4	23	±14
Kansas	65	±8	24	0	4	27	9	35	±11
Kentucky	54	±10	7	0	4	36	4	50	±13
Maine	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0	0	±0
Massachusetts	62	±5	29	2	1	37	9	23	±7
Michigan	77	±2	25	6	2	38	6	23	±3
Minnesota	47	±9	20	0	0	45	8	27	±14
Missouri	47	±10	20	0	3	48	4	25	±14
Nebraska	63	±8	5	0	0	57	10	29	±11
New Hampshire	69	±8	17	0	0	41	11	31	±11
North Carolina	29	±8	8	0	0	70	0	21	±16
Ohio	27	±8	18	0	0	51	12	19	±16
Tennessee	41	±9	9	0	0	66	0	25	±14
Texas	48	±6	17	2	2	39	3	38	±9
Vermont	87	±7	20	0	0	39	10	31	±10
Virginia	29	±8	11	0	11	57	5	16	±16
Wisconsin	81	±2	25	4	1	37	9	25	±3
All Other States	47	±3	14	0	2	55	8	21	±4

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who did not visit the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) Web site (Q56).
NA: Not applicable

63. What was the main reason why you or your staff did not use the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) "address look-up" service in 2010?

1. Did not know about it
2. Knew about it, but did not need the information provided by this service
3. Knew about it, but got desired information from other sources
4. Did not think it would be useful
5. The service was slow to respond to past requests
6. Some other reason

	Percent Responding		Percentages						Max ME
			1	2	3	4	5	6	
Total	84	±1	37	33	11	3	1	16	±2
SIZE OF JURISDICTION									
Less Than 1,000	92	±2	27	38	9	4	0	22	±3
1,000 – 4,999	88	±2	30	37	11	3	0	19	±3
5,000 – 25,000	80	±2	45	29	12	2	1	11	±3
More Than 25,000	70	±3	60	18	11	2	2	7	±3
TYPE OF JURISDICTION									
County	77	±2	49	24	12	2	1	12	±2
Sub-County	88	±1	30	37	10	3	0	19	±2
REGION OF JURISDICTION									
Northeast	82	±3	40	33	9	3	0	15	±4
Midwest	87	±1	32	36	11	3	1	18	±2
South	77	±3	47	23	14	3	1	13	±3
West	74	±4	54	21	11	2	1	11	±5
STATES									
Alaska	100	±0	0	0	0	0	0	100	±0
Connecticut	89	±5	33	35	11	2	0	18	±8
District of Columbia	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	±0
Georgia	56	±9	70	6	3	3	2	15	±12
Illinois	77	±8	59	23	9	1	0	7	±10
Iowa	88	±6	64	25	6	0	0	6	±10
Kansas	85	±6	52	26	3	2	0	17	±9
Kentucky	83	±7	31	31	11	2	0	25	±11
Maine	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	±0
Massachusetts	81	±4	42	37	6	2	1	12	±6
Michigan	88	±2	27	43	11	2	1	16	±3
Minnesota	81	±7	38	31	18	5	0	9	±11
Missouri	70	±9	52	22	13	3	5	6	±11
Nebraska	82	±7	27	43	11	4	0	15	±10
New Hampshire	79	±7	47	30	8	4	0	11	±10
North Carolina	69	±8	55	23	10	2	2	8	±10
Ohio	65	±8	55	24	12	2	3	4	±11
Tennessee	81	±7	30	24	24	2	0	20	±10
Texas	84	±4	48	26	8	3	0	15	±7
Vermont	89	±6	14	44	8	8	0	26	±10
Virginia	83	±6	67	6	16	5	0	6	±9
Wisconsin	89	±2	29	34	10	4	0	22	±3
All Other States	77	±2	48	23	14	2	1	12	±3

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question and who did not use the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) "address look-up" service (Q60).
 NA: Not applicable

64. During the 2010 election year, what form of communication did you use most frequently to communicate with the following UOCAVA voter groups?

a. Military in the U.S.

- 1. Mail
- 2. Fax
- 3. E-mail
- 4. Telephone
- 5. FVAP Web site
- 6. Some other form of communication
- 7. Don't know

	Percent Responding		Percentages							Max ME
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Total	76	±1	41	1	28	3	0	2	25	±2
SIZE OF JURISDICTION										
Less Than 1,000	65	±3	25	0	8	1	0	5	61	±3
1,000 – 4,999	74	±2	44	1	18	3	1	3	30	±3
5,000 – 25,000	84	±2	52	1	37	4	0	1	6	±3
More Than 25,000	88	±2	38	1	53	6	0	0	2	±3
TYPE OF JURISDICTION										
County	85	±2	46	1	41	5	0	1	7	±2
Sub-County	70	±2	37	1	17	2	0	4	39	±2
REGION OF JURISDICTION										
Northeast	71	±3	44	1	34	1	0	2	18	±4
Midwest	74	±2	38	1	21	3	0	3	34	±2
South	84	±2	44	1	41	5	1	1	7	±3
West	86	±3	46	1	41	5	0	1	5	±5
STATES										
Alaska	100	±0	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	±0
Connecticut	82	±6	44	1	42	1	0	4	8	±8
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	±0
Georgia	80	±7	63	0	29	6	0	0	2	±10
Illinois	88	±6	39	0	55	6	0	0	0	±10
Iowa	87	±6	45	0	45	8	0	0	2	±10
Kansas	84	±6	55	2	30	0	0	3	10	±9
Kentucky	85	±7	43	0	29	7	0	0	21	±11
Maine	100	±0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	±0
Massachusetts	59	±6	40	1	36	1	1	2	19	±7
Michigan	72	±3	42	1	16	2	1	3	35	±3
Minnesota	89	±6	31	0	62	2	0	0	4	±10
Missouri	93	±5	58	0	30	6	2	0	4	±10
Nebraska	83	±7	47	0	30	2	0	0	22	±10
New Hampshire	69	±8	44	2	28	0	0	2	24	±11
North Carolina	87	±6	50	1	38	4	2	2	3	±9
Ohio	90	±6	46	0	48	3	0	0	4	±9
Tennessee	95	±5	47	0	43	8	0	0	2	±9
Texas	81	±5	34	1	47	4	2	2	10	±7
Vermont	73	±9	27	0	17	0	0	0	56	±11
Virginia	86	±6	27	0	61	8	0	0	4	±9
Wisconsin	68	±3	30	0	11	2	0	5	52	±3
All Other States	85	±2	48	1	40	4	0	0	7	±3

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question.

64. During the 2010 election year, what form of communication did you use most frequently to communicate with the following UOCAVA voter groups?

b. Military overseas

- 1. Mail
- 2. Fax
- 3. E-mail
- 4. Telephone
- 5. FVAP Web site
- 6. Some other form of communication
- 7. Don't know

	Percent Responding		Percentages							Max ME
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Total	72	±1	30	1	36	1	0	2	29	±2
SIZE OF JURISDICTION										
Less Than 1,000	61	±3	16	0	9	1	0	5	69	±3
1,000 – 4,999	67	±3	32	1	23	1	1	4	39	±3
5,000 – 25,000	82	±2	39	2	48	1	0	1	9	±3
More Than 25,000	88	±2	28	1	67	1	0	0	2	±3
TYPE OF JURISDICTION										
County	83	±2	35	1	52	1	0	1	9	±2
Sub-County	66	±2	25	1	22	1	0	4	47	±2
REGION OF JURISDICTION										
Northeast	71	±3	31	2	43	1	0	2	22	±4
Midwest	69	±2	27	0	27	1	0	3	41	±2
South	81	±2	35	2	53	1	1	1	8	±3
West	86	±3	38	2	52	2	0	1	5	±5
STATES										
Alaska	100	±0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	±0
Connecticut	81	±6	34	3	48	1	0	5	9	±8
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	±0
Georgia	80	±7	56	0	37	2	0	2	2	±10
Illinois	82	±7	31	4	63	0	0	2	0	±10
Iowa	82	±7	37	0	59	2	0	0	2	±10
Kansas	80	±7	45	0	35	2	0	4	15	±10
Kentucky	82	±8	35	2	37	0	0	0	26	±11
Maine	100	±0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	±0
Massachusetts	63	±5	27	2	40	1	0	3	27	±7
Michigan	66	±3	28	1	23	1	1	3	44	±3
Minnesota	87	±7	19	0	76	0	0	0	4	±10
Missouri	89	±6	45	0	37	2	2	2	11	±10
Nebraska	80	±7	30	2	42	2	0	2	23	±10
New Hampshire	61	±9	32	2	34	2	0	0	29	±11
North Carolina	84	±6	43	0	49	0	2	2	5	±9
Ohio	88	±6	37	0	58	1	0	0	4	±9
Tennessee	91	±6	41	0	57	0	0	0	2	±10
Texas	78	±5	31	4	50	2	2	1	11	±7
Vermont	75	±8	14	0	28	0	0	0	57	±11
Virginia	85	±6	16	0	78	0	0	2	4	±9
Wisconsin	63	±3	22	0	12	1	0	5	60	±3
All Other States	82	±2	35	1	53	1	0	0	9	±3

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question.

64. During the 2010 election year, what form of communication did you use most frequently to communicate with the following UOCAVA voter groups?

c. Overseas civilians

- 1. Mail
- 2. Fax
- 3. E-mail
- 4. Telephone
- 5. FVAP Web site
- 6. Some other form of communication
- 7. Don't know

	Percent Responding		Percentages							Max ME
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Total	71	±1	28	1	36	2	0	2	30	±2
SIZE OF JURISDICTION										
Less Than 1,000	60	±3	14	0	11	1	1	5	68	±3
1,000 – 4,999	65	±3	28	1	25	1	1	4	41	±3
5,000 – 25,000	80	±2	38	2	47	2	0	1	11	±3
More Than 25,000	87	±2	29	1	66	1	0	1	2	±3
TYPE OF JURISDICTION										
County	80	±2	35	1	50	2	0	1	10	±2
Sub-County	65	±2	22	1	25	1	0	4	47	±2
REGION OF JURISDICTION										
Northeast	72	±3	29	1	47	2	0	3	17	±4
Midwest	67	±2	25	1	27	1	0	3	43	±2
South	77	±3	34	1	52	2	1	1	10	±3
West	84	±4	36	1	55	1	0	0	6	±5
STATES										
Alaska	100	±0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	±0
Connecticut	78	±6	34	3	50	1	0	3	10	±8
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	±0
Georgia	71	±8	56	0	37	2	0	0	5	±10
Illinois	80	±7	41	2	49	4	0	2	2	±10
Iowa	82	±7	28	0	64	2	0	2	4	±10
Kansas	80	±7	43	5	33	0	0	4	15	±10
Kentucky	75	±9	23	3	41	3	0	0	31	±11
Maine	100	±0	0	0	100	0	0	0	0	±0
Massachusetts	67	±5	24	2	56	1	0	3	14	±7
Michigan	64	±3	25	1	21	1	1	3	48	±3
Minnesota	87	±7	17	0	74	0	0	0	9	±10
Missouri	91	±6	44	0	35	6	2	0	13	±10
Nebraska	71	±8	29	0	39	0	0	0	32	±10
New Hampshire	61	±9	24	2	39	0	0	5	29	±11
North Carolina	83	±6	43	2	47	0	2	0	5	±9
Ohio	90	±6	44	0	49	2	0	0	6	±9
Tennessee	88	±6	33	2	59	0	0	0	6	±10
Texas	75	±5	32	1	50	4	2	1	10	±7
Vermont	77	±8	19	0	23	2	0	7	49	±11
Virginia	85	±6	11	0	84	0	0	2	4	±8
Wisconsin	62	±3	18	0	16	1	0	5	59	±3
All Other States	80	±2	35	1	51	2	0	1	10	±3

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question.

65. Do you feel that you need additional training on UOCAVA laws and procedures to adequately service UOCAVA voters in future elections?

1. Yes

2. No

3. Don't know

	Percent Responding		Percentages			Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes		
			1	2	3				
Total	94	±1	31	48	21	±2	39.0	±2.0	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION									
Less Than 1,000	96	±1	25	50	25	±3	33.0	±3.0	
1,000 – 4,999	94	±1	30	47	23	±3	39.0	±3.0	
5,000 – 25,000	92	±2	32	47	20	±3	41.0	±3.0	
More Than 25,000	91	±2	40	46	14	±3	46.0	±3.0	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION									
County	91	±1	33	47	19	±2	41.0	±2.0	
Sub-County	95	±1	29	48	23	±2	37.0	±2.0	
REGION OF JURISDICTION									
Northeast	91	±2	31	49	20	±4	38.0	±4.0	
Midwest	95	±1	29	49	23	±2	37.0	±2.0	
South	90	±2	37	43	20	±3	47.0	±3.0	
West	93	±3	33	50	17	±5	40.0	±5.0	
STATES									
Alaska	100	±0	0	100	0	±0	0.0	±0.0	
Connecticut	93	±4	37	53	10	±8	41.0	±8.0	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	100	0	±0	0.0	±0.0	
Georgia	83	±7	46	35	18	±10	57.0	±11.0	
Illinois	86	±6	41	44	16	±10	48.0	±10.0	
Iowa	89	±6	22	60	18	±10	27.0	±10.0	
Kansas	92	±5	33	48	19	±9	41.0	±10.0	
Kentucky	94	±5	28	40	32	±10	41.0	±12.0	
Maine	100	±0	0	100	0	±0	0.0	±0.0	
Massachusetts	90	±4	31	47	22	±6	39.0	±7.0	
Michigan	96	±1	33	43	24	±3	44.0	±3.0	
Minnesota	97	±4	11	77	12	±9	12.0	±8.0	
Missouri	91	±6	38	43	19	±10	47.0	±11.0	
Nebraska	97	±3	22	62	17	±9	26.0	±9.0	
New Hampshire	90	±6	35	45	20	±9	44.0	±10.0	
North Carolina	87	±6	40	43	17	±9	48.0	±9.0	
Ohio	94	±4	35	54	12	±9	39.0	±10.0	
Tennessee	98	±3	35	52	12	±9	41.0	±10.0	
Texas	91	±4	41	39	20	±6	51.0	±7.0	
Vermont	95	±5	11	62	26	±10	15.0	±10.0	
Virginia	88	±6	47	42	11	±9	52.0	±9.0	
Wisconsin	95	±1	24	52	24	±3	32.0	±3.0	
All Other States	92	±2	33	46	21	±3	41.0	±3.0	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question. Respondents who indicated "Don't know" are set to missing in the bar chart.

66. Would additional training on the following UOCAVA laws and procedures be helpful to you in servicing UOCAVA voters in future elections?

a. UOCAVA voter eligibility

1. Yes

2. No

3. Don't know

	Percent Responding		Percentages			Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes		
			1	2	3				
Total	84	±1	37	39	24	±2	49.0	±2.0	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION									
Less Than 1,000	87	±2	32	35	32	±3	48.0	±3.0	
1,000 – 4,999	84	±2	40	34	26	±3	54.0	±3.0	
5,000 – 25,000	83	±2	39	41	19	±3	49.0	±3.0	
More Than 25,000	84	±2	38	48	14	±3	44.0	±3.0	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION									
County	84	±2	39	42	19	±2	48.0	±2.0	
Sub-County	84	±2	36	36	28	±2	50.0	±2.0	
REGION OF JURISDICTION									
Northeast	80	±3	35	45	20	±4	43.0	±4.0	
Midwest	86	±1	35	37	28	±2	49.0	±2.0	
South	81	±2	43	38	19	±3	53.0	±3.0	
West	85	±3	46	43	11	±5	52.0	±5.0	
STATES									
Alaska	100	±0	0	100	0	±0	0.0	±0.0	
Connecticut	87	±5	33	44	23	±8	43.0	±9.0	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	0	100	±0	NA		
Georgia	76	±8	48	34	18	±10	59.0	±11.0	
Illinois	80	±7	29	51	20	±10	37.0	±11.0	
Iowa	83	±7	30	56	13	±10	35.0	±11.0	
Kansas	87	±6	34	33	33	±9	51.0	±11.0	
Kentucky	88	±7	28	44	28	±10	39.0	±12.0	
Maine	100	±0	0	100	0	±0	0.0	±0.0	
Massachusetts	77	±5	38	46	16	±6	45.0	±7.0	
Michigan	83	±2	41	31	28	±3	57.0	±3.0	
Minnesota	88	±6	18	66	16	±10	22.0	±10.0	
Missouri	89	±6	47	33	19	±10	59.0	±11.0	
Nebraska	94	±4	29	46	25	±9	39.0	±10.0	
New Hampshire	76	±8	39	43	18	±10	48.0	±11.0	
North Carolina	72	±8	49	37	13	±9	57.0	±10.0	
Ohio	84	±7	35	45	20	±9	44.0	±11.0	
Tennessee	86	±7	43	48	10	±10	47.0	±10.0	
Texas	82	±5	46	26	28	±7	64.0	±8.0	
Vermont	79	±8	14	50	36	±11	22.0	±13.0	
Virginia	80	±7	52	41	7	±9	56.0	±10.0	
Wisconsin	87	±2	33	37	30	±3	47.0	±3.0	
All Other States	84	±2	41	43	17	±3	49.0	±3.0	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question. Respondents who indicated "Don't know" are set to missing in the bar chart.
NA: Not applicable

66. Would additional training on the following UOCAVA laws and procedures be helpful to you in servicing UOCAVA voters in future elections?

c. The Military and Overseas Voter Empowerment Act (MOVE Act)

1. Yes

2. No

3. Don't know

	Percent Responding		Percentages			Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes		
			1	2	3				
Total	85	±1	42	34	24	±2	55.0	±2.0	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION									
Less Than 1,000	87	±2	32	34	34	±3	49.0	±3.0	
1,000 – 4,999	85	±2	42	32	25	±3	57.0	±3.0	
5,000 – 25,000	84	±2	47	34	19	±3	57.0	±3.0	
More Than 25,000	85	±2	50	37	13	±3	57.0	±3.0	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION									
County	84	±2	48	34	18	±2	58.0	±2.0	
Sub-County	85	±2	38	34	28	±2	53.0	±2.0	
REGION OF JURISDICTION									
Northeast	80	±3	41	39	20	±4	51.0	±4.0	
Midwest	86	±1	38	35	27	±2	52.0	±2.0	
South	82	±2	52	30	18	±3	64.0	±3.0	
West	85	±3	53	33	14	±5	61.0	±5.0	
STATES									
Alaska	100	±0	0	100	0	±0	0.0	±0.0	
Connecticut	89	±5	42	42	17	±8	50.0	±8.0	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	0	100	±0	NA		
Georgia	79	±7	56	23	22	±10	71.0	±11.0	
Illinois	84	±7	52	32	16	±10	62.0	±10.0	
Iowa	84	±7	32	52	16	±10	38.0	±11.0	
Kansas	87	±6	44	30	27	±9	60.0	±11.0	
Kentucky	88	±7	38	32	30	±10	54.0	±12.0	
Maine	100	±0	0	100	0	±0	0.0	±0.0	
Massachusetts	76	±5	42	40	18	±6	51.0	±7.0	
Michigan	85	±2	44	30	26	±3	59.0	±3.0	
Minnesota	92	±5	20	53	27	±10	27.0	±11.0	
Missouri	89	±6	54	29	17	±10	65.0	±11.0	
Nebraska	89	±6	33	40	27	±9	46.0	±11.0	
New Hampshire	79	±7	43	40	17	±10	52.0	±11.0	
North Carolina	74	±7	58	27	15	±9	68.0	±10.0	
Ohio	85	±6	40	46	14	±9	47.0	±10.0	
Tennessee	84	±7	48	43	10	±10	53.0	±10.0	
Texas	83	±5	59	23	18	±7	72.0	±7.0	
Vermont	79	±8	18	34	48	±11	35.0	±15.0	
Virginia	82	±7	56	34	10	±9	62.0	±10.0	
Wisconsin	87	±2	33	36	32	±3	48.0	±3.0	
All Other States	84	±2	49	34	17	±3	59.0	±3.0	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question. Respondents who indicated "Don't know" are set to missing in the bar chart.
NA: Not applicable

66. Would additional training on the following UOCAVA laws and procedures be helpful to you in servicing UOCAVA voters in future elections?

d. The Help America Vote Act (HAVA)

1. Yes

2. No

3. Don't know

	Percent Responding		Percentages			Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes		
			1	2	3				
Total	83	±1	29	46	25	±2	39.0	±2.0	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION									
Less Than 1,000	87	±2	25	40	35	±3	39.0	±3.0	
1,000 – 4,999	83	±2	29	46	25	±3	39.0	±3.0	
5,000 – 25,000	82	±2	32	47	20	±3	40.0	±3.0	
More Than 25,000	84	±2	32	55	13	±3	37.0	±3.0	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION									
County	82	±2	33	49	19	±2	40.0	±2.0	
Sub-County	84	±2	27	44	29	±2	38.0	±2.0	
REGION OF JURISDICTION									
Northeast	78	±3	28	51	21	±4	36.0	±4.0	
Midwest	85	±1	27	45	28	±2	37.0	±2.0	
South	81	±2	37	44	18	±3	46.0	±3.0	
West	85	±3	35	49	16	±5	42.0	±5.0	
STATES									
Alaska	100	±0	0	100	0	±0	0.0	±0.0	
Connecticut	86	±5	26	54	20	±8	32.0	±9.0	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	100	0	±0	0.0	±0.0	
Georgia	76	±8	44	34	23	±10	56.0	±11.0	
Illinois	77	±8	29	50	21	±10	37.0	±11.0	
Iowa	82	±7	24	63	12	±10	28.0	±10.0	
Kansas	83	±7	26	51	23	±9	34.0	±11.0	
Kentucky	89	±6	25	47	28	±10	35.0	±12.0	
Maine	100	±0	0	100	0	±0	0.0	±0.0	
Massachusetts	74	±5	30	52	17	±7	36.0	±7.0	
Michigan	85	±2	30	42	28	±3	42.0	±3.0	
Minnesota	88	±6	10	74	16	±10	12.0	±9.0	
Missouri	87	±7	34	45	21	±10	43.0	±11.0	
Nebraska	92	±5	24	48	27	±9	34.0	±11.0	
New Hampshire	79	±7	26	53	21	±10	33.0	±11.0	
North Carolina	73	±7	39	48	13	±9	44.0	±10.0	
Ohio	83	±7	35	47	18	±9	42.0	±10.0	
Tennessee	88	±6	40	50	9	±10	44.0	±10.0	
Texas	80	±5	35	43	22	±7	45.0	±8.0	
Vermont	79	±8	27	34	39	±11	45.0	±14.0	
Virginia	75	±7	38	57	5	±10	40.0	±10.0	
Wisconsin	85	±2	24	44	33	±3	35.0	±3.0	
All Other States	83	±2	35	47	18	±3	43.0	±3.0	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question. Respondents who indicated "Don't know" are set to missing in the bar chart.


66. Would additional training on the following UOCAVA laws and procedures be helpful to you in servicing UOCAVA voters in future elections?

f. FVAP's electronic transmission service

1. Yes

2. No

3. Don't know

	Percent Responding		Percentages			Max ME	Percentage Reporting Yes		
			1	2	3				
Total	85	±1	47	28	25	±2	63.0	±2.0	
SIZE OF JURISDICTION									
Less Than 1,000	87	±2	35	30	34	±3	54.0	±3.0	
1,000 – 4,999	86	±2	51	23	26	±3	69.0	±3.0	
5,000 – 25,000	84	±2	53	28	19	±3	65.0	±3.0	
More Than 25,000	85	±2	52	32	15	±3	62.0	±3.0	
TYPE OF JURISDICTION									
County	84	±2	53	29	19	±2	65.0	±2.0	
Sub-County	86	±1	43	28	29	±2	61.0	±2.0	
REGION OF JURISDICTION									
Northeast	80	±3	48	31	21	±4	61.0	±4.0	
Midwest	87	±1	43	29	29	±2	60.0	±2.0	
South	82	±2	57	25	18	±3	69.0	±3.0	
West	85	±3	62	26	12	±5	70.0	±5.0	
STATES									
Alaska	100	±0	0	100	0	±0	0.0	±0.0	
Connecticut	85	±5	52	31	16	±8	63.0	±9.0	
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	0	100	±0	NA		
Georgia	79	±7	65	22	13	±10	75.0	±10.0	
Illinois	84	±7	46	35	19	±10	57.0	±11.0	
Iowa	89	±6	38	46	16	±10	45.0	±11.0	
Kansas	83	±7	48	29	23	±9	62.0	±11.0	
Kentucky	87	±7	31	36	33	±10	46.0	±12.0	
Maine	100	±0	0	100	0	±0	0.0	±0.0	
Massachusetts	78	±5	50	31	19	±6	61.0	±7.0	
Michigan	87	±2	49	24	27	±3	67.0	±3.0	
Minnesota	89	±6	34	44	22	±10	44.0	±11.0	
Missouri	89	±6	55	28	17	±10	67.0	±11.0	
Nebraska	94	±4	42	33	25	±9	56.0	±10.0	
New Hampshire	81	±7	52	29	19	±10	64.0	±11.0	
North Carolina	75	±7	67	18	15	±9	79.0	±9.0	
Ohio	85	±6	42	35	23	±9	55.0	±11.0	
Tennessee	84	±7	54	32	14	±10	63.0	±11.0	
Texas	83	±5	63	15	22	±7	81.0	±6.0	
Vermont	80	±8	22	36	42	±11	38.0	±14.0	
Virginia	80	±7	60	33	7	±9	64.0	±10.0	
Wisconsin	87	±2	37	29	34	±3	56.0	±3.0	
All Other States	84	±2	55	27	17	±3	67.0	±3.0	

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the question. Respondents who indicated "Don't know" are set to missing in the bar chart.

NA: Not applicable

68. Did you respond to this survey via the Web or a paper survey questionnaire? Constructed from administrative data.

1. Paper survey

2. Web survey

	Percent Responding		Percentages		Max ME
			1	2	
Total	100	±0	45	55	±2
SIZE OF JURISDICTION					
Less Than 1,000	100	±0	61	39	±3
1,000 – 4,999	100	±0	47	53	±3
5,000 – 25,000	100	±0	33	67	±3
More Than 25,000	100	±0	24	76	±3
TYPE OF JURISDICTION					
County	100	±0	29	71	±2
Sub-County	100	±0	55	45	±2
REGION OF JURISDICTION					
Northeast	100	±0	41	59	±4
Midwest	100	±0	51	49	±2
South	100	±0	32	68	±3
West	100	±0	29	71	±4
STATES					
Alaska	100	±0	0	100	±0
Connecticut	100	±0	40	60	±7
District of Columbia	100	±0	0	100	±0
Georgia	100	±0	29	71	±9
Illinois	100	±0	34	66	±9
Iowa	100	±0	25	75	±9
Kansas	100	±0	19	81	±8
Kentucky	100	±0	44	56	±10
Maine	100	±0	0	100	±0
Massachusetts	100	±0	42	58	±6
Michigan	100	±0	67	33	±3
Minnesota	100	±0	12	88	±7
Missouri	100	±0	34	66	±9
Nebraska	100	±0	22	78	±8
New Hampshire	100	±0	34	66	±9
North Carolina	100	±0	18	82	±7
Ohio	100	±0	20	80	±8
Tennessee	100	±0	38	62	±9
Texas	100	±0	36	64	±6
Vermont	100	±0	66	34	±10
Virginia	100	±0	17	83	±8
Wisconsin	100	±0	50	50	±3
All Other States	100	±0	31	69	±3

Note. Percent responding are local election officials who answered the survey.

Survey Instrument



OMB Control No. 0704-0125
Exp: 11/30/11
DMDC Survey No. 10-0029



Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP)

***2010 Post-Election Voting Survey of
Local Election Officials***

Please return your completed survey in the business reply envelope through a U.S. government mail room or post office.

**Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP)
Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC)
ATTN: SURVEY PROCESSING CENTER
DATA RECOGNITION CORPORATION
P.O. BOX 5720
HOPKINS, MN 55343**

AGENCY DISCLOSURE NOTICE

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 90 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing the instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Services Directorate (0704-0125). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

PRIVACY NOTICE

This survey does not collect or use personally identifiable information and is not retrieved by a personal identifier. Therefore, the information collected is not subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended (5 U.S.C. § 552a).

This notice informs you of the purpose of the 2010 Post-Election Voting Surveys and how the findings of these surveys will be used. Please read it carefully.

Returning this survey indicates your agreement to participate in this research.

AUTHORITY: 42 United States Code, Section 1973ff.

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE: This survey is conducted by the Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP), which informs and educates United States citizens covered by the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (UOCAVA). The UOCAVA covers members of the Uniformed Services and Merchant Marines, their family members, and citizens residing outside the United States. Reports will be provided to the President and to Congress.

DISCLOSURE: Providing information on this survey is voluntary. Most people can complete the survey in 90 minutes. There is no penalty to you or your office if you choose not to respond. However, maximum participation is encouraged so that the data will be complete and representative. Your individual survey responses will be kept private to the extent permitted by law. If you answer any items and indicate distress or being upset, etc., you will not be contacted for follow-up purposes. However, if you indicate a direct threat to harm yourself or others within responses or communications about the survey, because of concern for your welfare, the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) will notify an office in your area for appropriate action.

SURVEY ELIGIBILITY AND POTENTIAL BENEFITS: Local Election Official offices representing all voting jurisdictions including the District of Columbia and the U.S. territories are included in the survey population. There is no direct benefit for your individual participation, however your responses, when taken together with the responses from all the other Local Election Officials, *will make a difference* by helping to identify areas where the absentee voting process can be improved.

STATEMENT OF RISK: Completing the survey is not expected to involve any risk or discomfort to you. The only risk is the unintentional disclosure of the data you provide. However, the government and its contractors have a number of policies and procedures to ensure that survey data are safe and protected. Government and contractor staff have been trained to protect survey data.

If you experience any difficulties taking the survey, please contact the Survey Processing Center by sending an e-mail to LEOSurvey@osd.pentagon.mil or call, toll-free, 1-800-881-5307. If you have concerns about your rights as a research participant, please contact: Ms. Caroline Miner, Human Research Protection Program Manager for the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (P&R), HRPP@tma.osd.mil (703) 575-2677.

Once you start answering the survey, if you desire to withdraw your answers, please notify the Survey Processing Center prior to January 24, 2011. Please include in the e-mail or phone message your name and Ticket Number. Unless withdrawn, partially completed survey data may be used after that date.

MAILING INSTRUCTIONS

- Please return your completed survey in the business reply envelope. (If you misplaced the envelope, mail the survey to DMDC, c/o Data Recognition Corp., P.O. Box 5720, Hopkins, MN 55343).
- If you are returning the survey from another country, be sure to return the business reply envelope only through a U.S. government mail room or post office.
- Foreign postal systems will not deliver business reply mail.

COMPLETION INSTRUCTIONS

- Please use a BLUE or BLACK ink pen.
- Place an "X" in the appropriate box or boxes.
- Please write each number clearly and in it's own box.

RIGHT

WRONG

<input type="checkbox"/>							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

COMPLETION INSTRUCTIONS (continued)

- The Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (UOCAVA), enacted in 1986, permits members of the Uniformed Services and Merchant Marines, and their eligible family members, and all citizens residing outside the United States and its territories to vote absentee in the general election for federal offices.
- Select "Zero" to indicate none. Zero →
- Select "Data not available" if you cannot provide data for a specific group. Data not available →
- If you cannot allocate the number of voters by "Uniformed Service Members" or "Overseas Civilians," please select "Data not available" for these subgroups and only report the total number of all UOCAVA voters in the total column.

a. Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas).....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Overseas Civilians.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> 1, <input type="text"/> 2, <input type="text"/> 3, <input type="text"/> 4, <input type="text"/> 5, <input type="text"/> 6, <input type="text"/> 7	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

VOTER REGISTRATION

1. Enter the total number of persons in your jurisdiction who were registered and eligible to vote in the November 2010 general election. *Include active and inactive voters, special categories of voters with extended deadlines (e.g., such as returning military), and any persons who may have registered to vote on Election Day. Do not include any persons under the age of 18 who may be registered under a "pre-registration" program.*

To indicate none, select zero.....

Zero → ,

2. Enter the total number of registered and eligible voters in your jurisdiction who were covered by the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (UOCAVA) in the November 2010 general election for each of the following groups. *Include both active and inactive UOCAVA voters.*

a. Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas).....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Overseas Civilians.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

VOTER TURNOUT

3. Enter the total number of persons in your jurisdiction who participated in the November 2010 general election. *Include all types of voters (civilian and military) by all types of ballots. Also include rejected provisional ballots only if your jurisdiction credits the person's vote history even though the provisional ballot was rejected.*

To indicate none, select zero.....

Zero → ,

◆ 4. Enter the total number of UOCAVA voters in your jurisdiction who participated in the November 2010 general election for each of the following groups. *Please include all UOCAVA voters who cast absentee ballots, Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs), and special state ballots. Also include rejected ballots cast by UOCAVA voters only if your jurisdiction credits the person's vote history even though the ballot was rejected.*

a. Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas).....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Overseas Civilians.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

FEDERAL POST CARD APPLICATIONS (FPCAs)

Questions 5-11 refer to the time period from January 1, 2010 until the close of registration for the November 2010 general election.

5. Did your jurisdiction receive any Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) during the time period from January 1, 2010 until the close of registration for the November 2010 general election?

- Yes
- No ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 12
- Don't know ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 12

6. What was the initial method that your jurisdiction used to acknowledge ballot requests made by Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) that it received from UOCAVA voters? *Mark one.*

- Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not acknowledge ballot requests made by FPCAs that it received from UOCAVA voters. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 7
- State voter verification Web site
- Acknowledgment card from the FVAP Web site
- Telephone
- Electronic transmission (e.g., fax or e-mail)
- Notified relative of requestor
- Ballot sent as acknowledgment
- Some other method

7. Please enter the exact date that your jurisdiction first received a Federal Post Card Application (FPCA) from a UOCAVA voter.

- I do not know the exact date that my jurisdiction first received a FPCA from a UOCAVA voter. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 8

Month Day
 2010 ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 9

8. Approximately when did your jurisdiction first receive a Federal Post Card Application (FPCA) from a UOCAVA voter? Mark one.

- On or before September 11
- September 12 to September 18
- September 19 to September 25
- September 26 to October 2
- October 3 to October 9
- October 10 to October 16
- October 17 to October 23
- October 24 to October 30
- October 31 or later
- I do not recall

9. Enter the total number of Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) that your jurisdiction received from each of the following groups.

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the number of FPCAs that it received. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 12

a. Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas).....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Overseas Civilians.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

10. Of the total number of Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) that your jurisdiction received (as reported in Question 9), how many were either unsuccessfully or successfully processed for each of the following groups?

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the outcomes of FPCAs that it processed. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 12

FPCA Outcomes	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civilians (b)	TOTAL (c)
1. Unsuccessfully processed FPCAs.....	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Successfully processed FPCAs.....	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total FPCAs Received	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

◆ **11. Of the total number of unsuccessfully processed Federal Post Card Applications (FPCAs) that your jurisdiction received (as reported in Question 10, Row 1), how many were unsuccessfully processed due to the following reasons?**

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the reasons why FPCAs were unsuccessfully processed.
 ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 12

Reasons for Unsuccessful FPCA Processing	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civilians (b)	TOTAL (c)
1. FPCA received after the statutory deadline.....	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. FPCA mailed to wrong election jurisdiction.....	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3. FPCA delivered by unauthorized mode of transmission (e.g., faxed FPCA not accepted due to state law).....	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4. Duplicate FPCAs received from an applicant	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5. Did not receive a wet signature on FPCA (e.g., no hardcopy of FPCA)	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6. Applicant failed to provide an adequate voting residence address.....	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
7. Applicant failed to provide adequate voter identification (e.g., a social security number).....	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8. Applicant failed to provide a signature and/or signature date	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
9. Applicant failed to provide a witness signature and/or signature date	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
10. Applicant failed to indicate their political party preference	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[][] , [][] , [][] Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NON-FEDERAL POST CARD APPLICATION (NON-FPCA) ABSENTEE BALLOT REQUESTS

Questions 12-18 refer to the time period from January 1, 2010 until the close of registration for the November 2010 general election.

12. Did your jurisdiction receive any non-FPCA absentee ballot requests from UOCAVA voters during the time period from January 1, 2010 until the close of registration for the November 2010 general election? *Non-FPCA absentee ballot requests include special state and local absentee ballot requests.*

- Yes
- No ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 19
- Don't know ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 19

13. What was the initial method that your jurisdiction used to acknowledge non-FPCA absentee ballot requests that it received from UOCAVA voters? *Mark one.*

- Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not acknowledge non-FPCA absentee ballot requests that it received from UOCAVA voters. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 14
- State voter verification Web site
- Acknowledgment card from the FVAP Web site
- Telephone
- Electronic transmission (e.g., fax or e-mail)
- Notified relative of requestor
- Ballot sent as acknowledgment
- Some other method

14. Please enter the exact date that your jurisdiction first received a non-FPCA absentee ballot request from a UOCAVA voter.

- I do not know the exact date that my jurisdiction first received a non-FPCA absentee ballot request from a UOCAVA voter. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 15

Month Day
 2010 ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 16

15. Approximately when did your jurisdiction first receive a non-FPCA absentee ballot request from a UOCAVA voter? *Mark one.*

- On or before September 11
- September 12 to September 18
- September 19 to September 25
- September 26 to October 2
- October 3 to October 9
- October 10 to October 16
- October 17 to October 23
- October 24 to October 30
- October 31 or later
- I do not recall

◆ **16. Enter the total number of non-FPCA absentee ballot requests from UOCAVA voters that your jurisdiction received from each of the following groups.**

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the number of non-FPCA absentee ballot requests that it received from UOCAVA voters. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 19

a. Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas).....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Overseas Civillians.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

17. Of the total number of non-FPCA absentee ballot requests that your jurisdiction received from UOCAVA voters (as reported in Question 16), how many were either unsuccessfully or successfully processed for each of the following groups?

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the outcomes of non-FPCA absentee ballot requests that it processed. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 19

Non-FPCA Outcomes	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civillians (b)	TOTAL (c)
1. Unsuccessfully processed non-FPCA absentee ballot requests	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Successfully processed non-FPCA absentee ballot requests	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total Non-FPCA Absentee Ballot Requests Received	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

18. Of the total number of unsuccessfully processed non-FPCA absentee ballot requests that your jurisdiction received from UOCAVA voters (as reported in Question 17, Row 1), how many were unsuccessfully processed due to the following reasons?

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the reasons why non-FPCA absentee ballot requests were unsuccessfully processed. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 19

Reasons for Unsuccessful Non-FPCA Absentee Ballot Request Processing	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civillians (b)	TOTAL (c)
1. Non-FPCA absentee ballot request received after the statutory deadline.....	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Non-FPCA absentee ballot request mailed to wrong election jurisdiction.....	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

18. Continued.

Reasons for Unsuccessful Non-FPCA Absentee Ballot Request Processing	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civilians (b)	TOTAL (c)
3. Non-FPCA absentee ballot request delivered by unauthorized mode of transmission (e.g., faxed non-FPCA not accepted due to state law).....	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][] , [][][][] , [][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][] , [][][][] , [][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
4. Duplicate non-FPCA absentee ballot requests received from an applicant.....	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
5. Did not receive a wet signature on non-FPCA absentee ballot request (e.g., no hardcopy of non-FPCA).....	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
6. Applicant failed to provide an adequate voting residence address.....	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
7. Applicant failed to provide adequate voter identification (e.g., a social security number).....	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
8. Applicant failed to provide a signature and/or signature date.....	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
9. Applicant failed to provide a witness signature and/or signature date.....	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
10. Applicant failed to indicate their political party preference.....	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> [][][][] , [][][][][] , [][][][][][] </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>



TRANSMISSION OF REGULAR UOCAVA ABSENTEE BALLOTS

19. Did your jurisdiction transmit regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election?

- Yes
- No ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 26
- Don't know ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 26

20. Please enter the exact date that your jurisdiction first began transmitting regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election.

- I do not know the exact date that my jurisdiction first began transmitting regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 21

Month Day
 2010 ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 22

21. For the November 2010 general election, approximately when did your jurisdiction first begin transmitting regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters? *Mark one.*

- On or before September 18
- September 19 to September 25
- September 26 to October 2
- October 3 to October 9
- October 10 to October 16
- October 17 to October 23
- October 24 to October 30
- October 31 or later
- I do not recall

22. Enter the total number of regular absentee ballots that your jurisdiction transmitted to UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election. *Include those ballots transmitted through mail, fax, and e-mail.*

- Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the number of regular absentee ballots it transmitted to UOCAVA voters. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 26

a. Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas).....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Overseas Civilians.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<i>Total</i>	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

23. Of the total number of regular absentee ballots that your jurisdiction transmitted to UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election (as reported in Question 22), how many were originally transmitted on or after your state's initial send out date to each of the following groups?

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the dates that it originally transmitted absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 24

Date Regular Absentee Ballots Were Originally Transmitted	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civilians (b)	TOTAL (c)
1. Ballots transmitted on or before your state's initial send out date.....	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Ballots transmitted after your state's initial send out date.....	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total Regular Absentee Ballots Transmitted	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

24. Of the total number of regular absentee ballots transmitted to UOCAVA voters (as reported in Question 22), how many were transmitted using the following modes of transmission?

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the modes of transmission that it used to transmit regular absentee ballots to UOCAVA voters. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 26

Modes of Regular Absentee Ballot Transmission	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civilians (b)	TOTAL (c)
1. Mail.....	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Fax.....	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3. E-mail.....	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total Regular Absentee Ballots Transmitted	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

◆ 25. Of the total number of regular absentee ballots your jurisdiction transmitted to UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election (as reported in Question 22), how many were returned as undeliverable for each of the following groups?

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the number of regular absentee ballots it transmitted to UOCAVA voters that were returned as undeliverable. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 26

a. Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas).....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	□ □ , □ □ □ , □ □ □ □	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Overseas Civilians.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	□ □ , □ □ □ , □ □ □ □	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	□ □ , □ □ □ , □ □ □ □	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

RECEIPT OF REGULAR UOCAVA ABSENTEE BALLOTS

26. Did your jurisdiction receive any regular absentee ballots from UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election?

- Yes
- No ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 39
- Don't know ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 39

27. Of the total number of regular absentee ballots that your jurisdiction transmitted to UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election (as reported in Question 22), how many were returned by each of the following groups? *Exclude Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) from your totals.*

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the number of regular absentee ballots that were returned by UOCAVA voters. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 30

a. Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas).....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	□ □ , □ □ □ , □ □ □ □	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Overseas Civilians.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	□ □ , □ □ □ , □ □ □ □	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	□ □ , □ □ □ , □ □ □ □	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

28. Of the total number of regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters (as reported in Question 27), how many were originally transmitted to UOCAVA voters on or after your state's initial send out date to each of the following groups?

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the date that returned regular absentee ballots were originally transmitted to UOCAVA voters. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 29

Date Returned Regular Absentee Ballots Were Originally Transmitted	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civilians (b)	TOTAL (c)
1. Ballots originally transmitted on or before your state's initial send out date.....	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Ballots originally transmitted after your state's initial send out date.....	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total Regular Absentee Ballots Returned	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

29. Of the total number of regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters (as reported in Question 27), how many were returned using the following modes of transmission?

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the modes of transmission used by UOCAVA voters to return regular absentee ballots. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 30

Modes of Transmission for Returned Regular Absentee Ballots	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civilians (b)	TOTAL (c)
1. Mail.....	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Fax.....	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3. E-mail.....	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total Regular Absentee Ballots Returned	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

REJECTION OF REGULAR UOCAVA ABSENTEE BALLOTS

◆ **30. Enter the total number of regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters that were rejected in your jurisdiction for the November 2010 general election. *Exclude Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) from your totals.***

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the number of regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters that were rejected. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 37

a. Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas).....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	□□, □□□, □□□□	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Overseas Civilians.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	□□, □□□, □□□□	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<i>Total</i>	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	□□, □□□, □□□□	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

31. Of the total number of rejected regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters in your jurisdiction (as reported in Question 30), how many were rejected because they were received after the statutory deadline for each of the following groups?

a. Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas).....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	□□, □□□, □□□□	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Overseas Civilians.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	□□, □□□, □□□□	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<i>Total</i>	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	□□, □□□, □□□□	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32. Of the total number of regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters that were rejected because they were received after the statutory deadline (as reported in Question 31), how many ballots were originally transmitted on or after your state's initial send out date to each of the following groups?

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the date that rejected regular absentee ballots were originally transmitted to UOCAVA voters. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 33

Date Regular Absentee Ballots Received After the Statutory Deadline Were Originally Transmitted	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civilians (b)	TOTAL (c)						
1. Ballots originally transmitted <u>on or before</u> your state's initial send out date.....	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">□□, □□□, □□□□</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	□□, □□□, □□□□	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">□□, □□□, □□□□</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	□□, □□□, □□□□	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">□□, □□□, □□□□</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	□□, □□□, □□□□	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
□□, □□□, □□□□									
Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
□□, □□□, □□□□									
Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
□□, □□□, □□□□									
Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
2. Ballots originally transmitted <u>after</u> your state's initial send out date.....	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">□□, □□□, □□□□</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	□□, □□□, □□□□	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">□□, □□□, □□□□</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	□□, □□□, □□□□	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">□□, □□□, □□□□</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	□□, □□□, □□□□	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
□□, □□□, □□□□									
Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
□□, □□□, □□□□									
Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
□□, □□□, □□□□									
Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
Total Regular Absentee Ballots Received After the Statutory Deadline	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">□□, □□□, □□□□</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	□□, □□□, □□□□	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">□□, □□□, □□□□</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	□□, □□□, □□□□	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">□□, □□□, □□□□</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table>	□□, □□□, □□□□	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
□□, □□□, □□□□									
Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
□□, □□□, □□□□									
Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
□□, □□□, □□□□									
Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									



33. Of the total number of regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters that were rejected (as reported in Question 30), how many were returned to your jurisdiction using the following modes of transmission?

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the modes of transmission used by UOCAVA voters to return regular absentee ballots that were rejected. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 37

Modes of Transmission for Rejected Regular Absentee Ballots	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civilians (b)	TOTAL (c)
1. Mail.....	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Fax	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3. E-mail.....	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total Regular Absentee Ballots Rejected	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34. Of the total number of mailed regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters that were rejected (as reported in Question 33, Row 1), how many were rejected due to the following reasons?

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track reasons why mailed regular absentee ballots were rejected. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 35

Reasons for Rejecting Mailed Regular Absentee Ballots	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civilians (b)	TOTAL (c)
1. Mailed regular absentee ballot received after statutory deadline.....	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Mailed regular absentee ballot sent to wrong election jurisdiction.....	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3. Mailed regular absentee ballot did not have a postmark	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4. Mailed regular absentee ballot did not have a voter signature and/or signature date	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34. Continued.

Reasons for Rejecting Mailed Regular Absentee Ballots	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civilians (b)	TOTAL (c)
5. Voter's signature on the mailed regular absentee ballot did not match the voter's signature on the Federal Post Card Application (FPCA)	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
6. Mailed regular absentee ballot did not have a witness signature and/or signature date	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
7. Voter who mailed the regular absentee ballot was not registered and/or eligible to vote	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>

35. Of the total number of faxed regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters that were rejected (as reported in Question 33, Row 2), how many were rejected due to the following reasons?

- Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not accept faxed regular absentee ballots. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 36
- Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track reasons why faxed regular absentee ballots were rejected. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 36

Reasons for Rejecting Faxed Regular Absentee Ballots	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civilians (b)	TOTAL (c)
1. Faxed regular absentee ballot received after statutory deadline.....	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
2. Faxed regular absentee ballot sent to wrong election jurisdiction.....	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
3. Did not receive the original "hardcopy" of the faxed package (e.g., missing coversheet, affirmation with voter information, ballot)	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
4. Faxed regular absentee ballot did not have a voter signature and/or signature date	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
5. Voter's signature on the faxed regular absentee ballot did not match the voter's signature on the Federal Post Card Application (FPCA)	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> </div> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>



35. Continued.

Reasons for Rejecting Faxed Regular Absentee Ballots	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civilians (b)	TOTAL (c)
6. Faxed regular absentee ballot did not have a witness signature and/or signature date	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
7. Voter who faxed the regular absentee ballot was not registered and/or eligible to vote	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>

36. Of the total number of e-mailed regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters that were rejected (as reported in Question 33, Row 3), how many were rejected due to the following reasons?

- Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not accept e-mailed regular absentee ballots. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 37
- Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track reasons why e-mailed regular absentee ballots were rejected. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 37

Reasons for Rejecting E-mailed Regular Absentee Ballots	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civilians (b)	TOTAL (c)
1. E-mailed regular absentee ballot received after statutory deadline.....	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
2. E-mailed regular absentee ballot sent to wrong election jurisdiction.....	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
3. Did not receive the original "hardcopy" of the e-mailed package (e.g., missing coversheet, affirmation with voter information, ballot)	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
4. E-mailed regular absentee ballot did not have a voter signature and/or signature date	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
5. Voter's signature on the e-mailed regular absentee ballot did not match the voter's signature on the Federal Post Card Application (FPCA)	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
6. E-mailed regular absentee ballot did not have a witness signature and/or signature date	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>
7. Voter who e-mailed the regular absentee ballot was not registered and/or eligible to vote	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input type="checkbox"/>

REGULAR UOCAVA ABSENTEE BALLOTS SUBMITTED FOR COUNTING

37. Enter the total number of regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters in your jurisdiction that were submitted for counting in the November 2010 general election. *Exclude Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) from your totals.*

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the number of regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters that were submitted for counting. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 38

a. Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas).....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Overseas Civillians.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

COUNTED REGULAR UOCAVA ABSENTEE BALLOTS

38. Enter the total number of regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters that were counted in your jurisdiction for the November 2010 general election. *Exclude Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) from your totals.*

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the number of regular absentee ballots returned by UOCAVA voters that were counted. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 39

a. Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas).....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Overseas Civilians.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

FEDERAL WRITE-IN ABSENTEE BALLOTS (FWABs)

39. Did your jurisdiction receive any Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) from UOCAVA voters for the November 2010 general election?

- Yes
- No ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 45
- Don't know ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 45

40. Enter the total number of Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) returned by UOCAVA voters in your jurisdiction for the November 2010 general election by each of the following groups.

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the number of FWABs returned by UOCAVA voters. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 45

a. Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas).....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Overseas Civilians.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



41. Enter the total number of Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) that were rejected in your jurisdiction for the November 2010 general election for each of the following groups.

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the number of FWABs returned by UOCAVA voters that were rejected. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 43

a. Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas).....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Overseas Civilians.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

42. Of the total number of Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) returned by UOCAVA voters that were rejected (as reported in Question 41), how many were rejected due to the following reasons?

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the reasons FWABs returned by UOCAVA voters were rejected. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 43

Reasons for Rejecting FWABs	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civilians (b)	TOTAL (c)
1. Regular absentee ballot was received by jurisdiction before the statutory deadline	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. FWAB was received after statutory deadline.....	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3. FWAB sent to wrong election jurisdiction.....	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4. There was no absentee ballot request on file.....	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5. FWAB had an incomplete voter declaration.....	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6. Voter's signature on the FWAB did not match the voter's signature on the Federal Post Card Application (FPCA)	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

◆ 42. Continued.

Reasons for Rejecting FWABs	Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas) (a)	Overseas Civilians (b)	TOTAL (c)
7. FWAB was returned by unauthorized mode of transmission (e.g., e-mail, fax)	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8. FWAB was spoiled	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

43. Enter the total number of Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) returned by UOCAVA voters in your jurisdiction that were submitted for counting in the November 2010 general election for each of the following groups.

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the number of FWABs returned by UOCAVA voters that were submitted for counting. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 44

a. Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas).....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Overseas Civilians.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

44. Enter the total number of Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) that were counted in your jurisdiction for the November 2010 general election for each of the following groups.

Does not apply; My jurisdiction did not track the number of FWABs returned by UOCAVA voters that were counted. ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 45

a. Uniformed Service Members (domestic or overseas).....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Overseas Civilians.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total.....	Zero → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> , <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>	Data not available → <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



ASSESSMENT OF ABSENTEE VOTING PROCESS

45. Taking all things into consideration, how satisfied were you with the overall absentee voting process in the November 2010 general election?

- Very satisfied
- Satisfied
- Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied
- Not Applicable

46. How satisfied were you with each aspect of the absentee voting process in the November 2010 general election?

	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Not Applicable
a. Registering absentee voters and processing absentee ballot requests	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
b. Delivering requested absentee ballots to voters	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
c. Receiving completed absentee ballots from voters.....	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
d. Counting returned absentee ballots from voters.....	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					

47. Which aspect of the absentee voting process needs the most improvement for future elections?

- Registering absentee voters and processing absentee ballot requests
- Delivering requested absentee ballots to voters
- Receiving completed absentee ballots from voters
- Counting returned absentee ballots from voters
- Some other aspect
- Not Applicable

TOLL-FREE ELECTRONIC FAX AND E-MAIL CONVERSION SERVICE

48. The Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) provides a toll-free electronic fax and e-mail conversion service that allows you or your staff to fax and/or e-mail election materials to UOCAVA voters. Did you or anyone on your staff use the electronic fax and e-mail conversion service during the 2010 election year?

- Yes
- No ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 51
- Don't know ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 52

49. Overall, how useful was the voting information or assistance that you received from the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free electronic fax and e-mail conversion service during the 2010 election year?

- Very useful
- Largely useful
- Moderately useful
- Somewhat useful
- Not at all useful

50. Did you or anyone else on your staff use the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free electronic fax and e-mail conversion service during the 2010 election year for any of the following reasons?

	Yes	No
a. To receive registration and ballot requests from voters	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. To transmit blank ballots to voters.....	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c. To receive completed ballots from voters.....	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d. To receive completed Federal Write-In Absentee Ballots (FWABs) from voters.....	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

GO TO QUESTION 52

◆ 51. What was the main reason why you or your staff did not use the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free electronic fax and e-mail conversion service in 2010? *Mark one.*

- Did not know about it
- Did not need it
- Could not get through
- Some other reason

TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE SERVICE

52. The Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) provides a toll-free telephone service that allows you or your staff to talk to FVAP staff for voting information or assistance. Did you or anyone on your staff use the toll-free telephone service to request voting information or assistance during the 2010 election year?

- Yes
- No ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 55
- Don't know ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 56

53. During 2010, how useful was the assistance you or your staff received from the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free telephone service in helping you perform your election official job duties?

- Very useful
- Largely useful
- Moderately useful
- Somewhat useful
- Not at all useful

54. During 2010, what was the main reason why you or anyone else on your staff used the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free telephone service? *Mark one.*

- To obtain voter mailing addresses
- To request Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) publications/forms
- To resolve a voting problem for uniformed service members or overseas civilians
- To request voting supplies (e.g., posters)
- To make suggestions or changes to FVAP publications or programs
- Some other reason

GO TO QUESTION 56

55. What was the main reason why you or your staff did not use the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) toll-free telephone service in 2010? *Mark one.*

- Did not know about it
- Knew about it, but did not know the telephone number
- Knew about it, but got desired information from other sources
- Did not need it
- It was a long-distance call
- Could not get through
- Some other reason

FVAP WEB SITE

56. The Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) Web site, www.fvap.gov, provides voting-related information and resources. During the 2010 election year, did you or a member of your staff visit this Web site?

- Yes
- No ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 59
- Don't know ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 60



57. During 2010, how useful was the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) Web site in helping you or your staff perform your election official job duties?

- Very useful
- Largely useful
- Moderately useful
- Somewhat useful
- Not at all useful

58. How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) Web site?

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
a. Search feature met my needs.....	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
b. I was able to find what I needed quickly and easily.....	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
c. Assisted me in performing my duties.....	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

GO TO QUESTION 60

59. What was the main reason why you or your staff did not visit the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) Web site in 2010? *Mark one.*

- Did not know about it
- Did not have Internet access
- Knew about it, but did not know the Web site address
- Knew about it, but got desired information from other sources
- Did not think it would be useful
- Some other reason

"ADDRESS LOOK-UP" SERVICE

60. The Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) provides local election jurisdictions with an "address look-up" service for undeliverable absentee ballots sent to active duty members. Did you or anyone on your staff use the "address look-up" service during the 2010 election year? ◆

- Yes
- No ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 63
- Don't know ⇒ GO TO QUESTION 64

61. During 2010, how useful was the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) "address look-up" service in helping you or your staff perform your election official job duties?

- Very useful
- Largely useful
- Moderately useful
- Somewhat useful
- Not at all useful

62. How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the Federal Voting Assistance Program's (FVAP) "address look-up" service?

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
a. Submitting "address look-up" requests were quick and easy to do.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
b. FVAP promptly provided me with the information I requested.....	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
c. The requested information I received from FVAP was accurate...	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
d. The "address look-up" service assisted me in performing my duties.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

GO TO QUESTION 64

